ISSN 2096-7071 (Print) ISSN 2096-3101 (Online) CN 10-1629/R1

CHINA CDC WEEKLY











Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief Hongbing	g Shen		
Founding Editor George	F. Gao		
Deputy Editor-in-Chief	iming Li Gabriel M Leung	Zijian Feng	
Executive Editor Chihong	g Zhao		
Members of the Editorial E	Board		
Rui Chen	Wen Chen	Xi Chen (USA)	Zhuo Chen (USA)
Gangqiang Ding	Xiaoping Dong	Pei Gao	Mengjie Han
Yuantao Hao	Na He	Yuping He	Guoqing Hu
Zhibin Hu	Yueqin Huang	Na Jia	Weihua Jia
Zhongwei Jia	Guangfu Jin	Xi Jin	Biao Kan
Haidong Kan	Ni Li	Qun Li	Ying Li
Zhenjun Li	Min Liu	Qiyong Liu	Xiangfeng Lu
Jun Lyu	Huilai Ma	Jiaqi Ma	Chen Mao
Xiaoping Miao	Ron Moolenaar (USA)	Daxin Ni	An Pan
Lance Rodewald (USA)	William W. Schluter (USA)	Yiming Shao	Xiaoming Shi
Yuelong Shu	RJ Simonds (USA)	Xuemei Su	Chengye Sun
Quanfu Sun	Xin Sun	Feng Tan	Jinling Tang
Huaqing Wang	Hui Wang	Linhong Wang	Tong Wang
Guizhen Wu	Jing Wu	Xifeng Wu (USA)	Yongning Wu
Min Xia	Ningshao Xia	Yankai Xia	Lin Xiao
Hongyan Yao	Zundong Yin	Dianke Yu	Hongjie Yu
Shicheng Yu	Ben Zhang	Jun Zhang	Liubo Zhang
Wenhua Zhao	Yanlin Zhao	Xiaoying Zheng	Maigeng Zhou
Xiaonong Zhou	Guihua Zhuang		

Advisory Board

Director of the Advisory Board Jiang Lu										
Vice-Director of the Advisory Board Yu Wang Jianjun Liu Jun Yan										
Members of the Advisory Board										
Chen Fu	Gauden Galea (Malta)	Dongfeng Gu	Qing Gu							
Yan Guo	Ailan Li	Jiafa Liu	Peilong Liu							
Yuanli Liu	Kai Lu	Roberta Ness (USA)	Guang Ning							
Minghui Ren	Chen Wang	Hua Wang	Kean Wang							
Xiaoqi Wang	Zijun Wang	Fan Wu	Xianping Wu							
Jingjing Xi	Jianguo Xu	Gonghuan Yang	Tilahun Yilma (USA)							
Guang Zeng	Xiaopeng Zeng	Yonghui Zhang	Bin Zou							

Editorial Office

Directing Editor	Chihong Zhao					
Managing Editors	Yu Chen					
Senior Scientific Edi	itors Daxin Ni	Ning Wang	Wenwu Yin	Shicheng Yu	Jianzhong Zhang	g Qian Zhu
Scientific Editors						
Weihong Chen	Tao Jiang	Xudong	Li Nanku	ın Liu	Liwei Shi	Liuying Tang
Meng Wang	Zhihui Wang	Qi Yang	Qing Y	′ue	Lijie Zhang	Ying Zhang
weng wang	Zinnarwang	Qinang	Qing i	uc	Lifte Zhang	

Cover Image: adapted from Canva, https://www.canva.cn/.

National Monitoring and Analysis of Internal Exposure of Nuclear Medicine Workers in ¹³¹I Treatment — China, 2021–2023

Xiaoliang Li¹; Jianxiang Liu¹; Fei Tuo¹; Weihong Chen²; Jianfeng Zhang¹; Shuo Wang¹; Quanfu Sun^{1,#}

Summary

What is already known on this topic?

Healthcare workers in ¹³¹I treatment facilities face potential occupational internal exposure through inhalation of volatile radioiodine, in addition to external exposure.

What is added by this report?

This study presents the first comprehensive national monitoring data on internal exposure among Chinese nuclear medicine (NM) workers. Approximately one-fifth of personnel working at radioiodine treatment sites showed detectable levels of 131 I in their thyroid tissue.

What are the implications for public health practice?

These findings provide essential baseline data for enhancing radiation protection protocols in NM facilities and optimizing national internal exposure monitoring.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The effective dose caused by the external exposure of medical radiation workers has dramatically declined in China. By contrast, less attention has been given to internal exposure to radiation. This study aimed to describe the national monitoring of the internal exposure of Chinese nuclear medicine (NM) workers from 2021 to 2023. These findings provide essential baseline data for enhancing radiation protection protocols in NM facilities and optimizing national internal exposure monitoring.

Methods: All the non-military hospitals in China with an NM program were investigated. Portable gamma spectrometers were used to measure the ¹³¹I activities of the thyroid of staff members at ¹³¹I treatment sites.

Results: A total of 998 hospitals in China had an NM program in 2023. Detectable rate (measurements above minimum detectable activity) decreased from 26.2% in 2021 to 20.1% in 2023. The proportion of

measurements exceeding 1.0×10^2 Bq decreased from 12.8% in 2021 to 10.0% in 2023. The detectable rate varied with job categories (*P*=0.001), with the detectable rate of cleaners being the highest.

Conclusions: In China, ¹³¹I was detected in the thyroid of about one-fifth of the subjects working at radioiodine treatment sites. Detectable rate exhibited a slow downward trend in recent years.

The average annual external exposure doses for medical radiation workers in China have shown a significant decline from 1.4 mSv (1996–2000) (1) to 0.35 mSv (2016) (2). However, beyond external exposure, nuclear medicine (NM) workers face additional risks from potential internal contamination due to their work with unsealed radiopharmaceuticals (3). Among these radionuclides, 131 I presents the primary internal exposure risk due to its high volatility and extended half-life.

This study aimed to assess internal exposure levels among NM staff across various hospitals to inform the development of evidence-based management and employee protection strategies. Following pilot measurements of ¹³¹I internal exposure in NM workers from 2018 to 2020, the study expanded to include 29 provincial-level administrative divisions (PLADs) from 2021 to 2023 (¹³¹I monitoring was not conducted in Shanxi in 2023). We present a comprehensive analysis of ¹³¹I treatment practices across China and evaluate thyroid ¹³¹I activity levels among staff at radioiodine treatment facilities during this period. The findings are intended to provide an empirical foundation for enhancing radiation protection protocols for personnel working at radioiodine treatment facilities throughout China.

This study comprised two main components. First, information about NM hospitals was collected through questionnaires, encompassing all non-military hospitals in China with NM programs. Second, portable gamma spectrometers were calibrated using ¹³¹I standard sources at our institution for thyroid activity measurements. The calibrated equipment was used to measure ¹³¹I activities in the thyroid of selected staff members at ¹³¹I treatment facilities. A standardized measurement and calculation protocol was developed into a handbook and disseminated through training to detection institutions. The detector was consistently positioned in contact with either the neck or thigh (for background measurement), with a measurement duration of 120 s. Minimum detectable activity (MDA) was employed to characterize the gamma spectrometer's capability in quantifying radionuclide presence. The detailed methodology for calculating thyroid ¹³¹I activity and MDA has been previously published (4). All data were uploaded through the National Radiological Health Information Platform and analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA., USA) and SPSS software (version 20.0; IBM, Armonk, USA). Statistical significance was defined as a two-sided *P*<0.05.

In 2023, China had 998 hospitals with NM programs, employing 11,285 workers across all PLADs except Xizang Autonomous Region. Of these institutions, 637 (63.8%) hospitals with 8,818 NM workers conducted ¹³¹I treatment procedures, and 358 (35.9%) hospitals with 5,893 workers specifically performed ¹³¹I treatment for thyroid cancer. Surface contamination monitors were available in 906 (90.8%) hospitals. Among the 637 hospitals providing ¹³¹I treatment, 62.6% were equipped with automated ¹³¹I loading devices (Supplementary Table S1, available athttp://weekly.chinacdc.cn/).

National internal exposure monitoring of staff at radioiodine treatment sites commenced in 2021. Table 1 presents the comprehensive monitoring results from 2021 to 2023. The number of monitored hospitals increased from 255 in 2021 to 405 in 2023, with participating staff members rising from 1,884 to 3,137. Both the detectable rate (measurements above MDA) and the proportion of measurements exceeding 1.0×10^2 Bq have showed a consistent decline since 2021.

In 2023, thyroid ¹³¹I activity measurements were conducted on 3,137 staff members from radioiodine treatment sites across 29 PLADs (Supplementary Table S2, available at http://weekly.chinacdc.cn/). ¹³¹I was detected in the thyroid of 630 participants (20.1%), with the maximum measurement reaching 5.8×10^4 Bq. Further evaluation was performed on measurements exceeding 1.0×10^2 Bq, which represented 10.0% of all participants.

Analysis revealed no significant gender-based differences in detectable rates (P=0.443). However, operators exhibited a significantly higher detectable rate (25.7%) compared to nonoperators (18.2%) (P<0.001). Detectable rates varied significantly across job categories (P=0.001). Notably, cleaners, despite not directly operating ¹³¹I equipment, demonstrated the highest detectable rate, followed by nurses. Detailed distributions are presented in Table 2.

Among the 908 participants who had operated 131 I during the previous year, 899 provided data regarding their most recent 131 I operation timing. Analysis of the relationship between measurement timing and detectable rates revealed a clear temporal pattern (Figure 1). The highest detectable rate of 47.4% occurred when measurements were performed on the same day as 131 I operations. This rate decreased significantly to 31.6% after just one day and continued to decline progressively with increasing time intervals (*P*<0.001).

DISCUSSION

Our analysis revealed that 998 hospitals in China, employing 11,285 staff members, maintained NM programs in 2023. Approximately two-thirds of these NM facilities provide ¹³¹I treatment services, while one-third specifically conduct ¹³¹I treatment for thyroid cancer. The latter requires substantially higher radioiodine doses compared to hyperthyroidism treatment protocols.

TABLE 1. Measurements of ¹³¹I activities in the thyroid of staff members at radioiodine treatment sites in China, 2021–2023.

Year	PLADs numbers	Hospitals numbers	Participants numbers	Detectable rate (%)	Maximum ¹³¹ I thyroid activity (Bq)	Proportion of measurements above 1.0×10 ² Bq (%)
2021	22	255	1,884	26.2	4.4×10 ⁴	12.8
2022	20	287	2,210	21.7	2.9×10 ⁴	11.3
2023	29	405	3,137	20.1	5.8×10 ⁴	10.0

Note: Military hospitals are not included in this study.

Abbreviation: PLADs=provincial-level administrative divisions.

Despite a gradual decline in detectable rates from 2021 to 2023, ¹³¹I was still detected in the thyroids of approximately one-fifth of workers at radioiodine treatment sites. This detectable rate falls between those reported in previous studies, being lower than the approximately 30% observed in Polish research (5) but higher than the 15% reported in Korean investigations (6). These variations may be attributed to differences in ¹³¹I exposure frequency and radiation protection protocols across countries.

The detectable rate exhibits substantial provincial variation, which may be attributed to differences in radiation protection protocols and sample sizes. Provinces with higher detectable rates require enhanced attention internal contamination to monitoring of nuclear medicine workers. Our stratified analysis revealed that nuclear medicine operators had significantly higher detectable rates compared to nonoperators. Furthermore, we identified a negative correlation between the time interval from operation to detection and the detectable rate.

Notably, cleaners exhibited the highest detectable rate among all nuclear medicine workers, despite not directly operating equipment. This elevated risk stems from their prolonged exposure during the cleaning of radioiodine treatment sites, combined with inadequate personal radiation protection (7). The high detectable rate among cleaners likely results primarily from insufficient awareness of self-protection protocols, highlighting the need for enhanced radiation protection training in nuclear medicine facilities. Implementation of basic protective measures, such as wearing charcoal masks and medical rubber gloves, can significantly reduce ¹³¹I intake through inhalation and

ingestion. The relatively uniform detectable rates observed among other worker categories may be attributed to varied patterns of ¹³¹I handling, as all workers except cleaners regularly engage in direct ¹³¹I manipulation.

While our findings suggest that increasing the intervals between ¹³¹I operations could reduce radiation exposure risk, excessive extension of these intervals may prove counterproductive. Prolonged periods between operations could lead to degradation

TABLE 2. Distribution of the participants above MDA in different groups.

	Participante	Abov		
Item	Item numbers		Proportion (%)	P
Gender				0.443
Male	1,372	267	19.5	
Female	1,765	363	20.6	
¹³¹ I operation				<0.001
No	2,186	397	18.2	
Yes	908	233	25.7	
Job				0.001
Cleaners	156	44	28.2	
Nurses	806	190	23.6	
Doctors	1,273	241	18.9	
Others	140	25	17.9	
Technicians	762	130	17.1	

Note: Others include physicists, chemists and pharmaceutical engineers. In 2023, thyroid ¹³¹I activity measurements were conducted on 3,137 staff members, but the information on ¹³¹I operation for 43 subjects is missing. 131 was detected in the thyroids of 630 participants (20.1%).

Abbreviation: MDA=minimum detectable activity.



Time interval from ¹³¹I operation to measurement (days)

FIGURE 1. Detectable rate of different time intervals from ¹³¹I operation to measurement in 2023.

Note: A total of 899 measurements are shown in this figure, because the time intervals from ¹³¹I operation to measurement for 8 subjects are missing. Linear-by-linear association chi-squared test suggested that the trend of detectable rate exhibited statistical significance (P<0.001).

of technical skills, potentially increasing the risk of radioactive contamination. Therefore, establishing optimal rotation schedules for ¹³¹I operations should be a key consideration in daily operational protocols.

This study had a notable limitation regarding the variability in MDA and measurement uncertainty across different monitoring institutions due to the use of diverse portable gamma spectrometers. To enable meaningful comparisons of measurements across PLADs, we standardized the analysis by calculating the proportion of measurements exceeding 1.0×10^2 Bq, as all MDAs were below this threshold. Future monitoring efforts should prioritize the standardization of gamma spectrometry equipment. Additionally, occupational radiation dose assessment should incorporate both external exposure and committed doses from radionuclide intake during the same period (8). However, committed doses from internal exposure were not estimated as measurements were conducted only once per year. This limitation arises from the restricted availability of internal exposure monitoring capabilities, with only approximately 70 qualified institutions nationwide. Switzerland's approach of using screening measurements performed by local staff with their own instrumentation offers an economical and practical alternative (9). In future work, we aim to develop a scientific and economic routine monitoring program to accurately assess the committed effective doses of NM workers.

The national screening program conducted over the past three years has yielded valuable data on thyroid ¹³¹I levels among NM workers and their provincial distribution patterns. Through detailed analysis, we identified key factors contributing to elevated detectable rates. These findings provide an essential scientific foundation for enhancing radiation protection protocols in NM facilities and optimizing national internal exposure monitoring systems.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge the support provided by the Core Competence Training Program of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

Ethical statements: Received ethics approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee of the National

Institute for Radiological Protection, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (No.LLSC2020-006).

doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2025.057

[#] Corresponding author: Quanfu Sun, sunquanfu@nirp.chinacdc.cn.

Copyright © 2025 by Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. All content is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).

Submitted: September 07, 2024 Accepted: February 05, 2025 Issued: March 14, 2025

REFERENCES

- Wu WZ, Zhang WY, Cheng RL, Zhang LA. Occupational exposures of Chinese medical radiation workers in 1986-2000. Radiat Prot Dosimetry 2005;117(4):440 – 3. https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/nci312.
- Deng J, Fan SN, Wang T, Hao SX, Liu XH, Guo W, et al. Trends and distribution analysis of occupational exposure from medical practices in China (2010-2016). Health Phys 2019;117(6):656 – 60. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/HP.000000000001118.
- 3. Wang HB, Zhang QZ, Zhang Z, Hou CS, Li WL, Yang H, et al. Necessity of internal monitoring for nuclear medicine staff in a large specialized Chinese hospital. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2016;13(4) :418. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13040418.
- Li XL, Zhang JF, Wang S, Liu JX, Tuo F, Sun QF. Measurements of ¹³¹I activity in thyroid of workers at the place of radioiodine therapy in 38 hospitals in China. J Radiat Res 2024;65(4):459 66. https://doi.org/10. 1093/jrr/rrae036.
- Krajewska G, Krajewski P. Evaluation of internal exposure of nuclear medicine staff working with radioiodine in Poland. Int J Occup Med Environ Health 2023;36(5):587 – 95. https://doi.org/10.13075/ijomeh. 1896.02136.
- 6. Kim ST, Yoo JR, Park JM. An investigation into internal exposure management needs for nuclear medicine practitioners and temporary visitors through I-131 internal dose assessment: focusing on large hospitals in South Korea. PLoS One 2018;13(12):e0209244. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209244.
- Guo Y, Zhang XZ, Li DM, Zhang SY, Hu JF, Cheng XJ. Occupational health management of dispatched cleaning personnel at the nuclear medicine workplace in a medical institution. Chin J Radiol Med Prot 2021;41(6):461 – 4. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-5098. 2021.06.011.
- International Atomic Energy Agency. Radiation protection and safety of radiation sources: international basic safety standards. Vienna: IAEA; 2014.
- Baechler S, Stritt N, Bochud FO. Individual monitoring of internal exposure for nuclear medicine workers in Switzerland. Radiat Prot Dosimetry 2011;144(1-4):464 – 7. https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncq350.

¹ Key Laboratory of Radiological Protection and Nuclear Emergency, National Institute for Radiological Protection, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China; ² Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S1. Overview of ¹³¹I treatment and distribution of relevant equipment for nuclear medicine hospitals in China, 2023.

	NM		Tertiary NM	Performing	g ¹³¹ l treatment	Performing for thy	g ¹³¹ l treatment oid cancer	Equipment numbers of	Equipment numbers of
PLADs	hospital	NM worker numbers	hospitals	Hospitals	Worker	Hospitals	Worker	automatic	surface
	numbers		numbers (%)	numbers (%)	numbers (%)	numbers (%)	numbers (%)	loading devices (%)	contamination monitors (%)
Beijing	41	596	34 (82.9)	25 (61.0)	394 (66.1)	4 (9.8)	56 (9.4)	12.0	100
Tianjin	17	243	17 (100)	8 (47.1)	168 (69.1)	4 (23.5)	122 (50.2)	50.0	100
Hebei	45	423	34 (75.6)	29 (64.4)	335 (79.2)	18 (40.0)	239 (56.5)	48.3	100
Shanxi	27	295	23 (85.2)	21 (77.8)	234 (79.3)	15 (55.6)	182 (61.7)	81.0	100
Inner Mongolia	18	169	16 (88.9)	13 (72.2)	137 (81.1)	8 (44.4)	108 (63.9)	46.2	83.3
Liaoning	35	384	32 (91.4)	13 (37.1)	255 (66.4)	12 (34.3)	226 (58.9)	76.9	97.1
Jilin	17	239	17 (100)	11 (64.7)	196 (82.0)	9 (52.9)	177 (74.1)	81.8	94.1
Heilongjiang	20	249	20 (100)	15 (75.0)	226 (90.8)	11 (55.0)	188 (75.5)	73.3	95.0
Shanghai	49	618	32 (65.3)	24 (49.0)	385 (62.3)	8 (16.3)	225 (36.4)	20.8	95.9
Jiangsu	76	801	60 (78.9)	41 (53.9)	501 (62.5)	22 (28.9)	300 (37.5)	43.9	15.8
Zhejiang	41	542	32 (78.0)	23 (56.1)	415 (76.6)	14 (34.1)	268 (49.4)	87.0	92.7
Anhui	38	343	34 (89.5)	24 (63.2)	273 (79.6)	17 (44.7)	213 (62.1)	66.7	100
Fujian	39	355	34 (87.2)	23 (59.0)	285 (80.3)	13 (33.3)	216 (60.8)	34.8	94.9
Jiangxi	33	275	25 (75.8)	21 (63.6)	213 (77.5)	7 (21.2)	100 (36.4)	76.2	93.9
Shandong	60	657	56 (93.3)	38 (63.3)	528 (80.4)	31 (51.7)	447 (68.0)	89.5	100
Henan	55	690	47 (85.5)	37 (67.3)	585 (84.8)	25 (45.5)	479 (69.4)	78.4	100
Hubei	44	592	39 (88.6)	30 (68.2)	485 (81.9)	22 (50.0)	431 (72.8)	83.3	100
Hunan	47	425	38 (80.9)	31 (66.0)	338 (79.5)	10 (21.3)	131 (30.8)	71.0	100
Guangdong	75	969	67 (89.3)	58 (77.3)	871 (89.9)	27 (36.0)	459 (47.4)	48.3	94.7
Guangxi	56	522	48 (85.7)	45 (80.4)	441 (84.5)	15 (26.8)	221 (42.3)	66.7	98.2
Hainan	8	106	7 (87.5)	8 (100)	106 (100)	6 (75.0)	97 (91.5)	87.5	100
Chongqing	34	313	21 (61.8)	17 (50.0)	249 (79.6)	7 (20.6)	133 (42.5)	82.4	97.1
Sichuan	54	571	45 (83.3)	35 (64.8)	471 (82.5)	20 (37.0)	346 (60.6)	65.7	90.7
Guizhou	10	123	10 (100)	8 (80.0)	114 (92.7)	7 (70.0)	106 (86.2)	100	100
Yunnan	20	293	17 (85.0)	14 (70.0)	242 (82.6)	8 (40.0)	157 (53.6)	71.4	95.0
Shaanxi	18	252	15 (83.3)	8 (44.4)	153 (60.7)	3 (16.7)	79 (31.3)	37.5	100
Gansu	9	95	8 (88.9)	7 (77.8)	84 (88.4)	6 (66.7)	73 (76.8)	28.6	100
Qinghai	3	26	3 (100)	2 (66.7)	21 (80.8)	2 (66.7)	21 (80.8)	50.0	66.7
Ningxia	2	34	2 (100)	2 (100)	34 (100)	2 (100)	34 (100)	100	100
Xinjiang	7	85	6 (85.7)	6 (85.7)	79 (92.9)	5 (71.4)	59 (69.4)	66.7	100

Note: Military hospitals were not included in this investigation. In 2023, China had 998 hospitals with nuclear medicine (NM) programs, employing 11,285 workers across all PLADs except Xizang Autonomous Region. Of these institutions, 637 (63.8%) hospitals with 8,818 (78.1%) NM workers conducted ¹³¹I treatment procedures, and 358 (35.9%) hospitals with 5,893 (52.2%) workers specifically performed ¹³¹I treatment for thyroid cancer. Surface contamination monitors were available in 906 (90.8%) hospitals. Among the 637 hospitals providing ¹³¹I treatment, 62.6% were equipped with automated ¹³¹I loading devices.

Abbreviation: PLADs=provincial-level administrative divisions; NM=nuclear medicine.

SUPPLEMENTARY	TABLE S2.	Distribution	of	¹³¹	thyroid	activity	measurements	among	staff	at	radioiodine	treatment
facilities in China, 20	23.											

	Deuticiaeut aurelean	Ab	ove MDA	Maximum ¹³¹ I activities	¹³¹ I activities above 1.0×10 ² Bq			
PLADS	ADS Participant numbers		Proportion (%)	(Bq)	Numbers	Proportion (%)		
Beijing	106	7	6.6	3.2×10 ³	7	6.6		
Tianjin	19	14	73.7	2.0×10 ³	1	5.3		
Hebei	30	14	46.7	8.2×10 ²	12	40.0		
Inner Mongolia	5	4	80.0	9.0×10 ²	3	60.0		
Liaoning	86	13	15.1	1.0×10 ³	7	8.1		
Jilin	102	14	13.7	2.2×10 ²	7	6.9		
Heilongjiang	200	4	2.0	5.5×10 ²	4	2.0		
Shanghai	204	6	2.9	5.8×10 ⁴	3	1.5		
Jiangsu	200	46	23.0	1.0×10 ²	0	0		
Zhejiang	96	49	51.0	6.3×10 ²	8	8.3		
Anhui	213	72	33.8	1.8×10 ⁴	40	18.8		
Fujian	231	58	25.1	2.2×10 ³	45	19.5		
Jiangxi	103	22	21.4	1.5×10 ⁴	21	20.4		
Shandong	49	43	87.8	3.1×10 ³	21	42.9		
Henan	276	61	22.1	4.3×10 ³	40	14.5		
Hubei	172	27	15.7	1.2×10 ³	18	10.5		
Hunan	44	1	2.3	1.1×10 ²	1	2.3		
Guangdong	276	23	8.3	1.8×10 ²	6	2.2		
Guangxi	252	33	13.1	3.6×10 ³	14	5.6		
Hainan	57	2	3.5	40	0	0		
Chongqing	120	27	22.5	2.7×10 ³	16	13.3		
Sichuan	59	9	15.3	1.1×10 ³	7	11.9		
Guizhou	49	12	24.5	5.4×10 ²	12	24.5		
Yunnan	33	9	27.3	1.0×10 ³	6	18.2		
Shaanxi	7	7	100	5.2×10 ²	5	71.4		
Gansu	56	37	66.1	1.2×10 ³	3	5.4		
Qinghai	22	0	0	-	0	0		
Ningxia	18	3	16.7	4.0×10 ²	3	16.7		
Xinjiang	52	13	25.0	1.8×10 ³	4	7.7		

Note: No ¹³¹I treatment facilities were present in Xizang in 2023, and ¹³¹I monitoring was not conducted in Shanxi province in 2023. Military hospitals were excluded from this study. In 2023, thyroid ¹³¹I activity measurements were conducted on 3,137 staff members from radioiodine treatment sites. ¹³¹I was detected in the thyroid of 630 participants (20.1%), with the maximum measurement reaching 5.8×10⁴ Bq. Further evaluation was performed on measurements exceeding 1.0×10² Bq, which represented 10.0% of all participants.

"-" indicates that the maximum ¹³¹I activity value is not applicable to Qinghai province.

Abbreviation: PLADs=provincial-level administrative divisions; MDA=minimum detectable activity.

Two-Year Surveillance of Dengue, Zika, and Chikungunya Viruses Among Chinese Blood Donors — Guangxi and Yunnan PLADs, China, 2022–2023

Ying Yan^{1,&}; Xinru Liu^{2,&}; Shaofang Lu^{3,&}; Le Chang^{1,2}; Jing Dong³; Huimin Ji¹; Huizhen Sun¹; Lunan Wang^{1,2,#}

Summary

What is already known about this topic?

The transmission of emerging and re-emerging arboviruses represent a critical challenge to blood transfusion safety worldwide.

What is added by this report?

This study established a comprehensive quality assurance system for Dengue virus (DENV), Zika virus (ZIKV), and Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) nucleic acid testing (NAT) blood screening. The system included external quality assessment (EQA) implementation across all participating central blood stations and performance evaluation of six domestic blood screening reagents. Surveillance conducted in Yunnan Province and Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region revealed no positive cases among 45,383 blood samples screened in 2022. In 2023, screening of 44,972 blood donors identified 9 NAT-reactive samples at the Xishuangbanna central blood station, with 6 confirmed as DENV-1 positive.

What are the implications for public health practice?

Blood stations in border regions must implement comprehensive surveillance systems with enhanced detection sensitivity and robust early warning mechanisms to effectively address emerging disease threats and ensure transfusion safety.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Emerging and re-emerging transfusion-transmitted arboviruses remain a persistent public health challenge to global blood safety. This study aims to establish a comprehensive nucleic acid testing (NAT) quality control system for Dengue virus (DENV), Zika virus (ZIKV), and Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) screening in blood donations to evaluate the performance of domestic screening reagents, and to assess the prevalence of these arboviruses in border

regions.

Methods: Pseudovirus quality control materials based on the Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) vector was constructed to evaluate the limit of detection (LoD) and precision of six blood screening reagents. An external quality assessment (EQA) was conducted across eight central blood stations in Guangxi Zhuang Aotonomous Region and Yunnan Provinces. These blood stations employed either reagent A or E for DENV/ZIKV/CHIKV triplex-assay screening of blood donor samples collected during epidemic seasons (June–August) in 2022 and 2023.

Results: The six reagents exhibited varied LoD. All evaluated reagents exhibited excellent precision with coefficient of variation (CV) values <5%. All eight central blood stations achieved EQA scores above 80. In 2022, a total of 45,383 blood samples were screened, with no positive cases detected. In 2023, 44,972 blood donors were screened, and nine samples tested positive at the Xishuangbanna central blood station. Confirmatory testing verified six Dengue virus serotype 1 (DENV-1) infections among these cases.

Conclusions: This study successfully established a robust quality assurance system for NAT-based arbovirus screening in China. The detection of DENV-positive samples underscore the persisting risk of transfusion-transmitted infections in endemic regions. Continued surveillance and enhanced screening strategies are essential to safeguard blood safety, particularly in arboviral hotspot regions with tropical/subtropical climates prone to recurrent outbreaks.

Emerging and re-emerging transfusion-transmitted diseases represent a critical global threat to blood safety. In China, localized Chikungunya fever outbreaks occurred in Guangdong (2010), Zhejiang (2017), and Yunnan (2019) Provincial-level administrative division (PLAD), with each occurrence demonstrating progressively longer durations and increasing proportion of imported cases (1). Since 2016, sporadic imported Zika cases have been documented. Between 2010 and 2021, Dengue fever exhibited a biennial outbreak pattern in Yunnan Province, characterized by frequent imported cases and a significant increase in local transmission (2). Given that over 75% of Dengue virus (DENV) infections are asymptomatic, potentially infected blood donors during epidemic periods pose a substantial risk to transfusion safety (3).

RNA detection serves as the earliest marker of acute infection, making nucleic acid testing (NAT) the primary diagnostic tool for accurate arbovirus identification (4). Arbovirus blood screening has been implemented in several countries, with the Asia Pacific Blood Network (APBN) recommending targeted testing in regions experiencing regular seasonal outbreaks (5). Since 2022, the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China (NHC) has implemented NAT screening for Dengue, Zika, and Chikungunya viruses at eight central blood stations in Yunnan and Guangxi PLAD. This initiative aims to adopt screening strategies based on epidemic and enhance blood station screening trends capabilities, enabling rapid epidemic response. To ensure screening quality, the National Center for Clinical Laboratories (NCCL) has developed quality control materials, evaluated all domestic blood screening reagents, conducting external quality assessments (EQA) for participating blood stations, and provided confirmation testing for screeningreactive samples.

Quality control materials were prepared using Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus (MMLV)-based amplified pseudoviruses containing regions corresponding to all domestic NAT screening reagents used in China. For reagent performance evaluation and EQA, pseudoviruses were quantified via digital PCR and diluted to predetermined concentrations in negative plasma. The limit of detection (LoD) and precision evaluations were conducted in accordance with CLSI EP17-A2, EP15-A3, and CNAS-GL039 guidelines. Annual EQA was performed at eight border central blood stations. (Supplementary Materials, available at https://weekly.chinacdc.cn/).

Blood screening for DENV, Zika virus (ZIKV), and Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) was conducted at 8 border central blood stations across 11 cities in Guangxi and Yunnan provinces from June to August in both 2022 and 2023, using NAT reagents from either Manufacturer A or Manufacturer E. Reactive samples were transported to NCCL for confirmatory testing using five NAT reagents (A, B, C, D, and E), with positive confirmation requiring detection by at least two reagents. Verified positive samples underwent DENV (serotype 1-4) determination using two Real-Time RT-PCR reagents (Shanghai Biogerm Medical Technology Co., LTD, Shanghai, China; Daan Gene Co., LTD, Guangzhou, China) (Figure 1A). Genotype analysis was performed using the NCBI database, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighborjoining method in Mega (version 7.0; Mega Development Team). All screened reactive samples underwent serological testing for IgG/IgM (Wondfo Biotech Co., LTD, Guangzhou, China) and Nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) antigen (Beijing Wantai Biological Pharmacy Enterprise Co., LTD, Beijing, China).

To ensure screening accuracy, this study validated the limit of detection (LoD) and precision of domestic screening reagents. Among blood the 6 DENV/ZIKV/CHIKV NAT reagents evaluated, reagent C demonstrated superior analytical sensitivity in individual donor NAT (ID-NAT) testing, achieving the lowest LoDs of 12.05 copies/mL for DENV, 13.41 copies/mL for ZIKV, and 14.86 copies/mL for CHIKV. In mini-pool NAT(MP-NAT) testing, reagent C maintained optimal sensitivity for CHIKV (33.24 copies/mL) and DENV (103.94 copies/mL), while reagent A exhibited the lowest LoD for ZIKV at 60.94 copies/mL (Table 1). All kits demonstrated excellent precision, with within-run and withinlaboratory coefficient of variation (CV) values below 5% at concentrations of 2 LoD and above (Table 2). participating blood stations consistently The 8 achieved EQA scores exceeding 80 over the 2-year period.

In 2022, the screening of 45,383 blood samples yielded no reactive samples. In 2023, among 44,972 samples screened, 9 DENV-reactive samples (designated 2301–2309) were identified at the Xishuangbanna central blood station using reagent A. Six of these samples were subsequently confirmed as DENV-positive (Figure 1B). Further serotype differentiation revealed all six samples were positive for DENV type 1 (Figure 1C).

All nine reactive samples tested negative for DENV IgG/IgM antibodies. Two samples (2308 and 2309) showed positivity for NS1 antigen. Follow-up testing of sample 2308 at three months post-initial screening

358



FIGURE 1. Screening and confirmation testing for Dengue, Chikungunya, and Zika viruses. (A) Strategy for screening and confirmation. (B) Results of individual donor testing in screening and retesting of reactive samples using blood screening reagents. (C) Results of DENV serotype differentiation. (D) Phylogenetic tree of E gene of DENV-1 genotype I in Xishuangbanna blood donors.

Note: Samples 2301, 2303, 2306, 2307, 2308, and 2309 demonstrated reactivity in two or more reagents upon retesting and were confirmed as DENV-positive. In pannel C, all six samples tested positive for DENV type 1 using DENV typing reagents. Abbreviation: CHIKV=Chikungunya virus; DENV=Dengue virus; ZIKV=Zika virus; NCCL=national center for clinical laboratories; NS1=non-structural protein 1; NAT=nucleic acid testing.

revealed seroconversion with positive DENV IgG/IgM results, while both NS1 antigen and NAT testing were negative, providing definitive evidence of prior DENV infection.

Successful sequencing of samples 2303, 2307, and

2309 identified them as DENV 1 genotype I (Asian type). These strains showed close phylogenetic relationships with contemporary local epidemic strains PP563874/Guangzhou/2023, PP396094/Yunnan/2023, and MW549622/Hainan/2023. Furthermore,

Vinue	Test types			Reagent ma	nufacturer		
virus	(copies/mL)	Α	В	С	D	E	F
CHIKV	MP-NAT (95% <i>CI</i>)	426.02 (247.86, 978.56)	3,490.82 (2,119.48, 7,909.66)	33.24 (24.72, 72.53)	1,598.18 (1,049.40, 4,868.64)	103.02 (72.04, 195.57)	498.15 (287.16, 1,332.18)
	ID-NAT (95% <i>CI</i>)	36.03 (24.31, 67.31)	428.05 (279.21, 833.91)	14.86 (11.30, 24.79)	375.00	20.04 (13.73, 57.71)	97.14 (57.30, 274.93)
DENV	MP-NAT (95% Cl)	605.53 (388.85, 1,163.10)	702.56 (438.75, 1,695.06)	103.94 (72.99, 195.01)	9,809.46 (7,722.29, 16,303.33)	8,178.76 (4,552.37, 19,956.30)	9,209.55 (5,930.77, 19,098.41)
22	ID-NAT (95% <i>CI</i>)	199.46 (122.48, 432.15)	218.79 (122.89, 575.78)	12.05 (9.49, 19.21)	8,421.45	907.22 (566.85, 1,867.53)	5,252.56 (3,473.96, 10,411.01)
ZIK\/	MP-NAT (95% <i>Cl</i>)	60.94 (39.11, 140.65)	379.65 (248.93, 772.45)	168.29 (111.68, 339.06)	2,105.09 (1,433.55, 4,772.67)	129.83 (88.89, 238.80)	217.74 (141.18, 491.16)
ZiiXV	ID-NAT (95% CI)	16.66 (10.15, 44.01)	34.85 (23.70, 77.47)	13.41 (10.32, 22.06)	2,655.70 (1,002.01, 1.881.589.12)	22.70 (15.03, 69.14)	51.51 (36.07, 99.15)

TABLE 1. Limit of detection of six domestic NAT kits for research.

Note: The limit of detection, at which measurement results yielded a positive classification with a 95% probability, was calculated using a probit regression model and is shown with a 95% confidence interval.

Abbreviation: CHIKV=Chikungunya virus; DENV=Dengue virus; ZIKV=Zika virus; NAT=nucleic acid testing; MP-NAT=mini-pool NAT; ID-NAT=individual donor NAT; CI=confidence interval.

TABLE 2. Precision of six domestic NAT kits for research.

	_				М	P-NAT							I	D-NAT	Г			
Reagent Manufacturer	Precision	C	нк			DENV		ΖΙΚν		(сніку	,		DENV			ΖΙΚν	
		12,000	1,500	187.5	12,000	1,500 187.5	12,000	1,500	187.5	12,000	1,500	187.5	12,000	1,500	187.5	12,000	1,500	187.5
	Average Ct value	32.70	35.78		34.14	37.36	28.61	31.67	34.72	30.78	33.53	37.11	31.60	34.69		26.68	29.66	32.83
А	Within-run CV (%)	2.22	1.70		0.76	2.01	0.94	1.23	1.30	2.80	2.49	2.38	1.07	1.19		1.76	1.35	1.29
	CV (%)	3.81	3.96		1.60	2.44	3.08	2.68	2.67	2.99	5.70	3.52	2.14	1.97		2.50	3.10	1.95
	Average Ct value	27.90			28.03	31.74	26.34	29.34		25.31	28.87		25.33	28.85		23.65	26.86	
В	Within-run CV (%)	1.25			1.01	2.70	1.35	1.20		0.78	1.54		0.79	1.22		0.98	0.92	
	CV (%)	2.38			1.41	2.74	1.40	1.50		0.98	2.82		1.31	2.05		1.47	1.70	
	Average Ct value	32.06	34.94	38.67	33.42	36.98	33.50	36.64		29.45	32.53	35.27	31.05	33.74	37.55	30.64	33.31	36.48
С	Within-run CV (%)	1.45	1.02	1.18	1.73	1.83	1.58	1.34		1.45	1.21	0.84	1.78	1.40	1.12	1.72	1.38	1.70
	Within-lab CV (%)	1.73	1.55	1.62	2.94	2.96	1.97	1.69		2.30	1.83	1.69	1.81	1.75	1.63	2.22	2.84	2.40
	Average Ct value	26.89			30.15		29.59			24.18	27.31		27.54			27.43		
D	CV (%)	0.92			2.14		1.84			0.85	2.13		2.24			1.73		
	Within-lab CV (%)	1.19			2.10		3.47			0.92	2.29		2.71			2.02		
	Average Ct value	28.47	31.41		33.16		27.63	30.69		26.18	29.35	32.18	31.34	34.31		25.37	28.58	31.43
Е	Within-run CV (%)	0.40	0.74		0.91		0.37	0.80		0.27	0.32	0.94	0.90	1.11		0.25	0.43	1.14
	Within-lab CV (%)	0.65	0.81		1.36		0.53	0.91		0.53	0.47	0.97	0.93	1.11		0.49	0.62	1.17
	Average Ct value	31.37	34.25		37.38		32.03	34.46		29.49	32.49		35.79			30.13	32.96	
F	Within-run CV (%)	0.84	1.71		3.50		0.83	1.27		0.76	1.11		2.12			1.49	0.84	
	Within-lab CV (%)	2.95	3.03		3.59		2.31	2.27		1.18	1.80		3.89			2.14	2.15	

Abbreviation: CHIKV=Chikungunya virus; DENV=Dengue virus; ZIKV=Zika virus; NAT=nucleic acid testing; MP-NAT=mini-pool NAT; ID-NAT=individual donor NAT; CV=coefficient of variation.

they clustered with viral strains from Singapore (MF033236/Singapore/2015), Malaysia (MH048669/ Malaysia/2014), and Thailand (MZ619041/ Thailand/2019) (Figure 1D), suggesting importation from Southeast Asian countries.

DISCUSSION

Blood products play a vital role in healthcare delivery and significantly reduce clinical mortality. However, clinical evidence demonstrates that arboviruses can occasionally cause adverse events through transfusion-transmitted infections (6-7).

This study worked to establish a comprehensive quality assurance system for arbovirus screening by implementing EQA programs for blood stations and evaluating screening reagent performance. All blood stations successfully passed the EQA requirements. The six evaluated kits demonstrated excellent within-run and within-laboratory precision, with CV values below 5%, indicating robust repeatability and reproducibility. However, LoD values varied significantly among reagents and deviated from manufacturer-declared specifications. This variation likely stems from manufacturers using different in-house quality control samples and non-standardized evaluation methods, which were inadequately detailed in their instructions. Our study employed standardized quantitative quality control samples and a unified evaluation protocol, ensuring direct comparability across manufacturers. Notably, domestic blood screening reagents still demonstrate lower sensitivity compared to CEapproved alternatives, such as the Procleix ArboPlex Assay (LoD<17.5 copies/mL), cobas[®]CHIKV/DENV (LoD<7.1 IU/mL) and Cobas® Zika (LoD<8.1 copies/mL). Given that the evaluated reagents are currently limited to research use only, manufacturers must significantly enhance product performance before commercial authorization.

DENV, currently the most concerning arbovirus, is transmitted by *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti*. Asymptomatic but viremic blood donors pose a significant transfusion safety risk. A systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that NAT screening detected an overall DENV viremic rate of 0.2% among blood donors, with RNA positivity in Southeast Asia at 0.16% (8). This study's screening identified a lower prevalence rate of 1.33‱ (6/44,972) among Chinese blood donors in 2023 compared to Southeast Asian rates. As of April 30, 2024, the World Health Organization (WHO) has reported over 7.6 million Dengue fever cases worldwide, including 3.4 million confirmed cases, more than 16,000 severe cases, and over 3,000 fatalities across 90 countries with active transmission (9). These findings underscore the necessity for continued arbovirus screening in China's tropical and subtropical regions. However, given China's vast geographical expanse and the regional and seasonal variations in DENV epidemiology, predonation health questionnaires may be more costeffective than universal testing in low-prevalence areas.

In conclusion, this study has successfully established a robust quality control system for NAT screening of DENV/ZIKV/CHIKV in Chinese blood donors. The detection of positive samples in 2023 and the subsequent Dengue outbreak in 2024 emphasize the urgent need to implement comprehensive surveillance and early warning mechanisms, while maintaining readiness in diagnostic capabilities to address emerging health threats.

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements: The staff members at the central blood stations of Nujiangzhou, Baoshan, Lincang, Wenshanzhou, Honghezhou, Pu'er, Xishuangbanna, and Baise for their contributions to the screening program.

Ethics statement: Blood donor screening was conducted in accordance with the "14th Five-Year Plan Work Scheme for Blood Station Blood Safety Monitoring and Risk Early Warning" issued by the National Health Commission. Confirmation testing of reactive samples were approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Hospital (2023BJYYEC-443-02).

Funding: Supported by the CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS) (2021-I2M-1-060).

doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2025.058

[#] Corresponding author: Lunan Wang, lnwang@nccl.org.cn.

Copyright © 2025 by Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. All content is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).

Submitted: August 07, 2024 Accepted: February 08, 2025 Issued: March 14, 2025

¹ National Center for Clinical Laboratories, Institute of Geriatric Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing Hospital/National Center of Gerontology, Beijing, China; ² National Center for Clinical Laboratories, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China; ³ Xishuangbanna Central Blood Station, Xishuangbanna City, Yunnan Province, China. [&] Joint first authors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Liu LB, Li M, Gao N, Shen JY, Sheng ZY, Fan DY, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of the chikungunya outbreak in Ruili City, Yunnan Province, China. J Med Virol 2022;94(2):499 506. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.27302.
- Zhu XX, Wang SW, Li YF, Cao Y, Su XM, Zhao XT. Epidemiological characterization of dengue fever — Yunnan province, China, 2010-2021. China CDC Wkly 2024;6(20):457 – 62. https://doi.org/10.46234/ ccdcw2024.088.
- 3. Li L, Li Y, Lu SF, Dong J, Xu HX, Zhang Q, et al. Epidemiological survey and screening strategy for dengue virus in blood donors from Yunnan Province. BMC Infect Dis 2021;21(1):104. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12879-021-05810-8.
- Munoz-Jordan JL. Diagnosis of Zika virus infections: challenges and opportunities. J Infect Dis 2017;216(suppl_10):S951 – 6. https://doi. org/10.1093/infdis/jix502.
- 5. Asia Pacific Blood Network (APBN). Dengue and the blood supply.

White paper updated January 2019. https://asiapacificbloodnetwork.org/ wp-content/uploads/2023/03/apbn_white_paper_dengue_v2019_final. pdf. [2024-7-29].

- 6. Guzman MG, Harris E. Dengue. Lancet 2015;385(9966):453 65. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60572-9.
- Viennet E, Frentiu FD, Williams CR, Mincham G, Jansen CC, Montgomery BL, et al. Estimation of mosquito-borne and sexual transmission of Zika virus in Australia: risks to blood transfusion safety. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2020;14(7):e0008438. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pntd.0008438.
- Giménez-Richarte Á, Ortiz de Salazar M, Arbona C, Giménez-Richarte MP, Collado M, Fernández PL, et al. Prevalence of Chikungunya, Dengue and Zika viruses in blood donors: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. Blood Transfus 2022;20(4):267 – 80. https://doi.org/ 10.2450/2021.0106-21.
- World Health Organization. Global arbovirus initiative: preparing for the next pandemic by tackling mosquito-borne viruses with epidemic and pandemic potential. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/ publications/i/item/9789240088948. [2024-5-13].

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Quality Control Materials

The quality control materials were generated using Moloney Murine Leukemia virus (MMLV)-based pseudoviruses. The process involved two key steps: First, the target regions of Dengue virus (DENV), Zika virus (ZIKV), and Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) genomes — specifically those amplified by all domestic DENV/ZIKV/CHIKV triplex nucleic acid testing (NAT) screening reagents in China — were synthesized and inserted into the MMLV vector backbone PQCXIG(w497-1). Second, the resulting plasmids were packaged with pUMVC and pVSVG in 293T cells. The viral supernatant was harvested 48 hours post-transfection and quantified via digital PCR. For reagent performance evaluation and external quality (EQA), the pseudoviruses were diluted to predetermined concentrations in negative plasma. To evaluate reagent performance, pseudoviruses underwent serial two-fold dilutions from 12,000 copies/mL, generating 12 distinct concentrations.

Limit of Detection

The limit of detection (LoD) evaluation followed the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute EP17-A2 guideline (Evaluation of Detection Capability for Clinical Laboratory Measurement Procedures; Approved Guideline-Second Edition). Each quality control sample underwent extraction and testing in octuplicate daily for 3 consecutive days. The positive rate for each dilution was calculated, and the LoD was determined using a probit regression model as the measurand concentration yielding positive results with 95% probability. Result interpretation adhered to manufacturer specifications.

Precision

Precision assessment followed the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute EP15-A3 guideline (User Verification of Precision and Estimation of Bias; Approved Guideline). Samples at concentrations of 2 LoD and above were tested in quintuplicate daily for 5 consecutive days. Within-run precision (repeatability) and within-laboratory precision were calculated according to guideline specifications.

External Quality Assessments

National Center for Clinical Laboratories prepared a ten-sample panel comprising negative plasma and positive pseudovirus samples. The EQA utilized a 100-point scoring system, with each sample worth 10 points. Blood stations were required to achieve a minimum score of 80 points based on their qualitative results to pass the assessment.

Screening of Blood Samples

Blood screening protocols required equipment-compatible reagents from either Manufacturer A or Manufacturer E. Screening was conducted using Multiplex NAT, processing either six samples (Manufacturer A) or eight samples (Manufacturer E) per pool. Individual donor NAT (ID-NAT) was performed on samples from reactive pools to identify specific reactive specimens.

Genotype Determination

E gene amplification utilized the following primer pairs: F1: 5'-CACATGCCATAGGAACATCCA-3', R1: 5'-ATGAGCCTGTGCACATCACA-3'; F2: 5'-GGAACAGACAAGATTTGCTGGT-3', R2: 5'-TGCCACTTC CACATTTGAGT-3'. The PCR thermal cycling conditions were: 50 °C for 30 min; 94 °C for 2 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 60 s; followed by 72 °C for 7 min, with final hold at 4 °C. Genotype analysis was performed using the NCBI database.

Developing Machine Learning Models Based on Clinical Manifestations to Predict Influenza — Chongqing Municipality, China, 2022–2023

Qianqian Zeng^{1,&}; Hongyu Zhou^{2,&}; Jiang Long³; Yi Jian⁴; Li Feng⁵; Liangbo Hu⁶; Hongyu Zhou⁶; Weimin Zhu¹; Zhe Yuan¹; Yajuan Chen¹; Guangzhao Yi^{1,#}

Summary

What is already known about this topic?

Current evidence regarding which clinical manifestations best predict influenza requires refinement, particularly considering regional variations in disease presentation and their importance for early diagnosis and surveillance.

What is added by this report?

The optimal machine learning model identified key influenza predictors, including epidemiological characteristics, critical symptoms and signs, and age. Based on this model, we introduced a new influenza-like illness (ILI) definition characterized by fever (\geq 37.9 °C) with either cough or rhinorrhea.

What are the implications for public health practice?

These findings provide evidence-based clinical manifestations for influenza prediction and offer an optimized definition of ILI for improved surveillance and early detection.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Clinical manifestations are essential for early diagnosis of influenza-like illness (ILI). Machine learning models for influenza prediction were developed and a new ILI definition was introduced.

Methods: A retrospective cohort study was conducted at three hospitals in southwest China during June 2022 and May 2023. Artificial intelligence was used to extract variables from medical records and XGBOOST algorithm was used to develop prediction models for the total population and three age subgroups. A new ILI definition was introduced based on the optimal model and its performance was compared with WHO, China CDC, and USA CDC definitions.

Results: Totally 200,135 patients were included.

4.249 (36.2%) were confirmed influenza. The predictors of the optimal model included epidemiological characteristics, important symptoms and signs, and age for the total population [Area under curve (AUC) 0.734 (0.710-0.750), accuracy 0.689 (0.669-0.772)]. The new ILI definition was fever (> 37.9 °C) with cough or rhinorrhea, and its AUC, sensitivity, and specificity for diagnosing influenza were 0.618 (0.598–0.639), 0.665 and 0.572, outperformed the WHO, China CDC, and USA CDC definitions (*P*<0.05).

Conclusions: Fever, cough, and rhinorrhea maybe the most important indicators for influenza surveillance.

Influenza poses a significant public health threat. Early identification of influenza based on clinical manifestations is crucial for optimal treatment outcomes and prognosis (1). Influenza surveillance serves as a critical component for outbreak early warning systems and timely implementation of preventive and control measures (2). The World Health Organization (WHO) established a global symptom surveillance network for influenza in 1952, known as influenza-like illness (ILI) surveillance (3). However, limited research has evaluated the performance of ILI definition in influenza surveillance using large-scale data from Chinese populations. To address this gap, a retrospective cohort study was conducted at three tertiary comprehensive and influenza sentinel hospitals in Chongqing Municipality, China, between June 2022 and May 2023 (Supplementary Material, available at https:// weekly.chinacdc.cn/). Our findings demonstrate that body temperature, cough, and rhinorrhea may be the most important clinical indicators for influenza diagnosis and ILI surveillance.

The study cohort comprised all patients who visited the emergency departments or fever clinics of the three participating hospitals during the study period. Exclusion criteria included: 1) patients who returned to either department for respiratory illness within one month, and 2) patients lacking diagnosis, chief complaint, or present illness history documentation. Laboratory confirmation of influenza infection followed established diagnostic criteria (4). A total of 27 symptom and sign variables were extracted (Supplementary Table S1, available at https://weekly. chinacdc.cn/) from the electronic medical record (EMR) information systems of the outpatient and emergency departments. CongRong (Supplementary Material), an artificial intelligence (AI) assistant and pre-trained large language model, was utilized to extract symptom variables for database construction.

The model development and validation dataset comprised cases with confirmed influenza laboratory

testing results. Important variables were identified using the boruta algorithm, and machine learning models were developed for three age subgroups (0-14 years, 15-64 years, and \geq 65 years) and the total population using eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBOOST) algorithm (5). For each age group, four based on different combinations models of epidemiological and other variables were constructed, following the process outlined in Supplementary Figure S1 (available at https://weeklv.chinacdc.cn/). The resulting 16 candidate models were evaluated using the testing dataset (Table 1). The model with the highest area under curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was designated as optimal. To interpret the machine learning models, SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP) values were employed to quantify the direction and magnitude (mean SHAP value) of important variables in the optimal model (5).

TABLE 1. Performance of machine learning-based prediction models for influenza in the testing dataset.

Dataset	Models	Accuracy (95% Cl)	AUC (95% CI)	Threshold [†]	Sensitivity	Specificity
Total population						
	Model_1	0.689 (0.669, 0.772)	0.734 (0.710, 0.750)	0.500	0.541	0.769
	Model_2	0.685 (0.666, 0.703)	0.728 (0.707, 0.749)	0.486	0.525	0.771
	Model_3	0.679 (0.660, 0.698)	0.723 (0.703, 0.744)	0.496	0.535	0.758
	Model_4	0.651 (0.638, 0.670)	0.664 (0.642, 0.687)*	0.483	0.460	0.754
0–14 years age group						
	Model_1	0.607 (0.550, 0.662)	0.680 (0.621, 0.740)	0.500	0.708	0.543
	Model_2	0.604 (0.547, 0.659)	0.680 (0.621, 0.739)	0.499	0.692	0.548
	Model_3	0.604 (0.547, 0.659)	0.654 (0.593, 0.715)	0.469	0.717	0.532
	Model_4	0.588 (0.530, 0.643)	0.631 (0.568, 0.693)*	0.494	0.583	0.590
15–64 years age group						
	Model_1	0.701 (0.680, 0.722)	0.747 (0.724, 0.769)	0.516	0.475	0.826
	Model_2	0.693 (0.672, 0.714)	0.748 (0.726, 0.770)	0.510	0.470	0.816
	Model_3	0.687 (0.666, 0.708)	0.731 (0.708, 0.753)*	0.484	0.502	0.790
	Model_4	0.650 (0.628, 0.671)	0.679 (0.654, 0.704)*	0.463	0.426	0.773
≥65 years age group						
	Model_1	0.711 (0.629, 0.784)	0.791 (0.719, 0.864)*	0.531	0.656	0.756
	Model_2	0.704 (0.622, 0.778)	0.750 (0.669, 0.830)*	0.502	0.641	0.756
	Model_3	0.578 (0.492, 0.660)	0.719 (0.635, 0.803)*	0.570	0.422	0.705
	Model_4	0.542 (0.457, 0.626)	0.600 (0.507, 0.693)*	0.531	0.484	0.590

Note: Model_1 included two epidemiological characteristics and other important variables.

Model_2 included visiting during a specific week of epidemic season and other important variables.

Model_3 included visiting during the epidemic season and other important variables.

Model_4 included important variables except epidemiological characteristics.

Abbreviation: Cl=confidence interval.

364

* The difference between this model and others was statistically significant in the same group (P<0.05).

[†]The maximum Youden index was used to determine the optimal threshold for influenza prediction.

Based on the most significant symptoms and signs positively associated with influenza (indicated by higher importance value with a positive SHAP value) in the optimal model for the total population, a new ILI definition was developed. The diagnostic performance of the new definition alongside existing WHO, China CDC, and USA CDC ILI definitions was evaluated using the testing dataset. Additionally, cross-correlation analysis of time series between ILI cases under these definitions and confirmed influenza cases was conducted using the cross-correlation function from the Stats package.

All statistical analyses were performed using R software version 4.3.2 (R foundation, Vienna, Austria). Continuous variables were compared using t-tests or Kruskal-Wallis tests as appropriate. Categorical variables were analyzed using chi-squared tests or Fisher's exact tests. The pROC package was employed to determine the optimal body temperature cut-off value (maximum Youden index) and compare model AUC values using the DeLong method.

After data extraction and processing, we established a comprehensive database comprising 200,135 cases. The CongRong model demonstrated exceptional performance in symptom variable extraction, achieving an accuracy of 0.997, sensitivity of 0.991, and specificity of 0.998 in the testing dataset (Supplementary Table S2, available at https://weekly. chinacdc.cn/). From the influenza sub-dataset used for developing and validating infection prediction models (n=11,753; Supplementary Figure S1), we identified 4,249 (36.2%) influenza-positive cases in the total population, with positivity rates of 41.6%, 34.9%, and 41.5% in the 0–14 years, 15–64 years, and \geq 65 years age groups, respectively (Supplementary Table S1).

The Boruta algorithm identified distinct sets of important candidate variables for modeling: 18 for the total population, and 7, 16, and 8 variables for the 0-14 years, 15-64 years, and \geq 65 years age groups, respectively (Figure 1). The predictive performance metrics of all 16 machine learning models are presented in Table 1. For the total population, model 1 emerged as the optimal prediction model, achieving an accuracy of 0.689 (0.669, 0.772) and an AUC of 0.734 (0.710, 0.750). The most influential predictors in this model included body temperature, age, visiting during the epidemic season, visiting during a certain week of epidemic season, cough, and rhinorrhea, with all factors except age showing strong positive associations with influenza (Figure 1). In the 0-14 years age group, model_1 performed optimally, with body temperature, visiting during a certain week of epidemic season, rhinorrhea, visiting during epidemic season, and cough emerge as the most significant predictors, all demonstrating strong positive correlations with influenza (Figure 1). For the 15-64 years age group, model_2 proved most effective, with body temperature, visiting during a certain week of epidemic season, age, cough, and rhinorrhea identified as key predictor, all except age showing strong positive associations with influenza (Figure 1). In the ≥ 65 years age group, model 1 demonstrated optimal performance, with visiting during epidemic season, visiting during a certain week of epidemic season, body



FIGURE 1. SHAP summary plot illustrating variable importance and directional relationships obtained from the optimal model for influenza prediction across the (A) total population, (B) 0–14 years group, (C) 15–64 years group, and (D) \geq 65 years group.

Note: Variables with higher importance values (yellow) and positive SHAP values (right side) demonstrate positive associations, while those with higher importance values (yellow) and negative SHAP values (left side) indicate negative associations.

Abbreviation: SHAP=SHapley Additive exPlanations.

temperature, rhinorrhea, and cough emerging as the most important predictors, all showing strong positive correlations with influenza (Figure 1). The complete performance metrics for both testing and training datasets are detailed in Table 1 and Supplementary Table S3 (available at https://weekly.chinacdc.cn/), respectively.

Based on the most important symptoms and signs positively associated with influenza in the optimal model for the total population - body temperature, cough, and rhinorrhea - and using the identified cutoff value for body temperature of 37.9 °C, a new ILI definition was established: fever (≥37.9 °C) with either cough or rhinorrhea. This new definition significantly outperformed (P<0.001) the existing WHO, China CDC, and USA CDC definitions in diagnosing influenza, achieving an AUC of 0.618 (0.598, 0.639), accuracy of 0.605 (0.585, 0.625), sensitivity of 0.665, and specificity of 0.572 (Table 2). Time series analyses of ILI cases under the new, WHO, China CDC, and USA CDC definitions alongside confirmed influenza cases during the study period, revealed that the daily trend cross-correlation coefficients between ILI cases under the new, WHO, China CDC, and USA CDC definitions and influenza cases were 0.701 (P<0.05), 0.685 (P<0.05), 0.648 (P<0.05), and 0.653 (P<0.05) respectively, with peak correlations occurring simultaneously.

DISCUSSION

This study developed machine learning models for influenza prediction using data from three large sentinel hospitals in Chongqing, China, and identified an optimal model with the highest AUC. Based on the model's top three predictive symptoms (fever, cough, and rhinorrhea), a new ILI definition was proposed that demonstrated superior performance compared to existing WHO, China CDC, and USA CDC definitions. Our findings suggest that body temperature, cough, and rhinorrhea serve as crucial indicators for both early clinical diagnosis of influenza and ILI surveillance.

Our study employed XGBOOST, an advanced machine learning algorithm that has demonstrated superior performance in clinical and epidemiological studies compared to other approaches such as Ranger, Random Forest, Cforest, SVM, Artificial Neural Network, and Deep Learning (5-6). The SHAP value analysis of our optimal models revealed consistent important variables across all age subgroups and the total population, including epidemic season timing, body temperature, cough, and rhinorrhea, aligning with previous research findings (5,7-9). These results underscore two critical points: first, the importance of timely reporting of influenza epidemic trends by national and regional CDC authorities based on surveillance data; and second, the primary clinical indicators — body temperature, cough, and rhinorrhea — that clinicians should prioritize when diagnosing influenza during epidemic seasons.

While ILI definitions vary across countries and WHO revised its definition in 2011 (9), our study aimed to establish a more accurate definition. Based on our optimal prediction model's identification of body temperature, cough, and rhinorrhea as the most significant positive predictors of influenza, with a body temperature threshold of 37.9 °C, we proposed defining ILI as fever (≥37.9 °C) with either cough or rhinorrhea. This new definition not only outperformed WHO, China CDC, and USA CDC definitions in our study but also showed the highest daily trend crosscorrelation coefficient with confirmed influenza cases. Our temperature threshold of 37.9 °C closely approximates the 38.0 °C specified by WHO and China CDC, and the 37.8 °C by USA CDC. While our definition shares the core elements of fever and cough with existing definitions, it notably substitutes rhinorrhea for sore throat as an alternative criterion. This modification is supported by previous studies identifying rhinorrhea as a common influenza occurring alongside cough symptom (10-11),providing evidence-based justification for optimizing

TABLE 2. Performance of ILIs with the new, WHO, China CDC and USA CDC definitions in predicting influenza.

ILI	AUC (95% C/)	Accuracy (95% CI)	Sensitivity	Specificity
New ILI	0.618 (0.598, 0.639)*	0.605 (0.585, 0.625)	0.665	0.572
WHO ILI	0.599 (0.578, 0.620)	0.602 (0.583, 0.622)	0.587	0.611
China CDC ILI	0.592 (0.572, 0.613)	0.572 (0.551, 0.591)	0.661	0.522
USA CDC ILI	0.592 (0.571, 0.612)	0.560 (0.540, 0.580)	0.701	0.482
Abbrowietien: II Ininfluenze	like illnesse Cl-senfidence interv	al: WILO-World Lloolth Organizat	ion: ALIC-area under a	

Abbreviation: ILI=influenza-like illness; *CI*=confidence interval; WHO=World Health Organization; AUC=area under curve.

* The difference in AUC between the new ILI definition and other definitions was statistically significant (P<0.001).

the ILI definition.

Our study has two primary limitations. First, its retrospective design may introduce inherent biases. Second, despite including multiple centers, the data remains geographically confined to one region. Future research should incorporate data from diverse regions and countries to validate these findings.

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments: Cloudwalk Technology for providing artificial intelligence data processing support.

Ethical statement: Received approval from the Research Ethics Board of The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University (approval number: K2024-171-01), with informed consent obtained from all participants.

Funding: Supported by the Chongqing Social Science Planning Project (Grant Number 2020PY48) funded by the Chongqing Federation of Social Science and the Joint Project of Chongqing Science and Technology Bureau and Health Commission (Grant Number 2020NCPZX03) funded by the Chongqing Science and Technology Bureau and Chongqing Health Commission of China.

doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2025.059

[#] Corresponding author: Guangzhao Yi, 202774@hospital.cqmu. edu.cn.

Submitted: July 12, 2024

Accepted: January 27, 2025 Issued: March 14, 2025

REFERENCES

- Hartman L, Zhu YW, Edwards KM, Griffin MR, Talbot HK. Underdiagnosis of influenza virus infection in hospitalized older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2018;66(3):467 – 72. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs. 15298.
- Gónzalez-Bandala DA, Cuevas-Tello JC, Noyola DE, Comas-García A, García-Sepúlveda CA. Computational forecasting methodology for acute respiratory infectious disease dynamics. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17(12):4540. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17124540.
- World Health Organization. Global influenza surveillance and response system (GISRS). https://www.who.int/initiatives/global-influenzasurveillance-and-response-system. [2024-4-28].
- National Health Commission of the People's Republic China. Diagnosis and treatment scheme for influenza (2020 revised edition) http://www.nhc.gov.cn/cms-search/downFiles/a671529d4c7b428b88489f 71212df083.pdf. [2020-11-4]. (In Chinese).
- Hung SK, Wu CC, Singh A, Li JH, Lee C, Chou EH, et al. Developing and validating clinical features-based machine learning algorithms to predict influenza infection in influenza-like illness patients. Biomed J 2023;46(5):100561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bj.2022.09.002.
- Cheng HY, Wu YC, Lin MH, Liu YL, Tsai YY, Wu JH, et al. Applying machine learning models with an ensemble approach for accurate realtime influenza forecasting in Taiwan: development and validation study. J Med Internet Res 2020;22(8):e15394. https://doi.org/10.2196/ 15394.
- Li PF, Wang YN, Peppelenbosch MP, Ma ZR, Pan QW. Systematically comparing COVID-19 with the 2009 influenza pandemic for hospitalized patients. Int J Infect Dis 2021;102:375 – 80. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.11.127.
- Morens DM, Taubenberger JK, Fauci AS. A centenary tale of two pandemics: the 1918 influenza pandemic and COVID-19, part I. Am J Public Health 2021;111(6):1086 – 94. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH. 2021.306310.
- Fitzner J, Qasmieh S, Mounts AW, Alexander B, Besselaar T, Briand S, et al. Revision of clinical case definitions: influenza-like illness and severe acute respiratory infection. Bull World Health Organ 2018; 96(2):122 – 8. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.17.194514.
- Monto AS, Gravenstein S, Elliott M, Colopy M, Schweinle J. Clinical signs and symptoms predicting influenza infection. Arch Intern Med 2000;160(21):3243 – 7. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.160.21.3243.
- Dugas AF, Hsieh YH, LoVecchio F, Moran GJ, Steele MT, Talan DA, et al. Derivation and validation of a clinical decision guideline for influenza testing in 4 US emergency departments. Clin Infect Dis 2020;70(1):49 – 58. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz171.

¹ The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China; ² Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China; ³ Chongqing Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Chongqing, China; ⁴ Cloudwalk Technology, Chongqing, China; ⁵ People's Hospital of Chongqing Banan District, Chongqing, China; ⁶ The Affiliated Yongchuan Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China. ⁸ Intervention of Chongqing, China.

[&] Joint first authors.

Copyright © 2025 by Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. All content is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Introduction to Participating Hospitals in this Study

The three hospitals are sentinel hospitals for influenza surveillance, located in the central, southern and western Chongqing, with 3,200, 1,500 and 1,200 beds, respectively; during the study, the number of visits in the emergency department was 27,262, 83,828 and 64,075, and the number of visits in the fever clinic was 16,303, 17,500 and 11,293, respectively.

Introduction of AI Assistant CongRong and Symptom Variables Extraction Process

As an AI assistant, CongRong is a pre-trained large language model with balanced capabilities in both Chinese and English. It is a transformer-based autoregressive model with 75 billion parameters, with a basic architecture similar to that of a new generation of open source large model, LLama 2. Regarding pre-training data, approximately 55% of data is consistent with that of LLama 2, primarily in English, comprising wiki, arXiv papers, code, e-books, and web content. The remaining 45% is primarily in Chinese, including Chinese encyclopedias, e-books, papers, and web content. CongRong's training ultimately consumed 1.5TB of tokenized pre-training data.

Data for the variables except body temperature were extracted from chief complaint, history of present illness and physical examination within the raw data by CongRong, an artificial intelligence (AI) assistant and pre-trained large language model, to obtain a database for analysis. In order to enable CongRong to better understand the unique expressions and professional terminology specific to this extraction task, we selected a sample dataset with 1,167 cases from the raw data to train and validate CongRong model: first, three clinicians read the medical records of the sample cases and recorded whether a patient exhibited any of the 26 symptoms (annotated positive 1 and negative 0); then the sample dataset was divided into a training dataset (n=854) and a testing dataset (n=313), and the training dataset was used to fine-tune the CongRong pre-trained model; finally, the CongRong pre-trained model was validated in the testing dataset.

The Definitions Involved in this Study

Epidemic season for influenza The start of an epidemic season was defined as the first week during which the infection (influenza) positive rate was higher than the average infection positive rate for the study period (a surveillance year) and remained above that level for at least four consecutive weeks; the end of an epidemic season was defined as the first week during which the infection (influenza) positive rate was lower than the average infection positive rate for the study period and remained below that level for at least four consecutive weeks (1,2).

We collected two epidemiological characteristics variables included visiting during respective epidemic season of influenza and visiting during a certain week of epidemic season. According to the definition of epidemic season, we determined that the study period included two influenza seasons with a total of 12 weeks.

Influenza-like illness defined by WHO An acute respiratory illness with a measured temperature of \geq 38°C and cough, with onset within the past 10 days (*3*).

Influenza-like illness defined by China CDC Fever $(\geq 38.0^{\circ}C)$ with cough or sore throat (4).

Influenza-like illness defined by USA CDC Fever (\geq 37.8°C) and a cough and/or a sore throat (5).

SUPPI EMENTARY	TABLE S1	Characteristics of	f patients	undergoing	influenza	laboratory	testina.
	TADLE OT.		n patiento	undergoing	muchza	laboratory	tooting.

VariablesTotal (N=11,753)Influenza ingative positive (N=7,504)Influenza positive positive (N=7,504)Influenza positive ingative positive (N=7,504)Influenza positive ingative (N=901)Influenza influenza influenzaInfluenza influenza influenza influenzaInfluenza influenza influenza influenza influenzaInfluenza influenza influenza influenza influenzaInfluenza influenza influenza influenza influenza influenza influenzaInfluenza influenza <b< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></b<>					
Age, years, median (IQR) 25 25 26 8 6 26 27 73 73 Female $6,079$ $3,988$ $2,091$ 430 280 $3,347$ $1,676$ 211 135 Visiting during epidemic $10,006$ $5,906$ $4,100$ 808 631 $4,818$ $3,181$ 280 280 $3,347$ $1,676$ 211 135 Visiting during epidemic $10,006$ $5,906$ $4,100$ 808 631 $4,818$ $3,181$ 280 288 $3,181$ 280 288 283 286 288 283 $3,181$ 280 288 288 288 288 288 $3,181$ 280 280 280 380 47 69 97.6 97.6 97.6 97.6 97.6 91.6 91.6 92.6 93.5 395.5 540 68 91 280 380 47 69 92.6 93.5 395.5 540 68 91 280	0-14 years group 15-64 years group ≥65 years group Jenza Influenza Influenza Influenza Influenza sitive negative positive negative positive 4,249) (N=901) (N=641) (N=6,187) (N=3,313) (N=416) (N=295)	Influenza positive (<i>N</i> =4,249)	Influenza negative (<i>N</i> =7,504)	Total (<i>N</i> =11,753)	Variables
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	25	25	Age, years, median (IQR)
Visiting during epidemic10,006 $5,906$ $4,100$ 808 631 $4,818$ $3,181$ 280 288 season, n (%)(85.1)(78.7)(96.5)*(89.7)(98.4)*(77.9)(96.0)*(67.3)(97.6)Visiting during a certain week of epidemic season, n (%)78.7)(96.5)*(89.7)(98.4)*(77.9)(96.0)*(67.3)(97.6)196593735162317142935395540689128038047693(10.3)(5.3)(12.7)(7.5)(14.2)(4.5)(11.5)(11.3)(23.4)31,2095097001111583504544888486245141113914127023742334(7.3)(6.0)(9.7)(15.4)(22.0)(4.4)(7.2)(10.1)(11.2)533522910671321436315115(2.9)(3.1)(2.5)(7.9)(5.0)(2.3)(1.9)(3.6)(3.7)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,091	3,988	6,079	Female
$\begin{array}{c} \text{week of epidemic season, } n \\ (\%) \\ \text{The first influenza season} \\ 1 & 96 & 59 & 37 & 35 & 16 & 23 & 17 & 1 & 4 \\ (0.8) & (0.8) & (0.9)^{*} & (3.9) & (2.5)^{*} & (0.4) & (0.5)^{*} & (0.2) & (1.4)^{*} \\ 2 & 935 & 395 & 540 & 68 & 91 & 280 & 380 & 47 & 69 \\ (8.0) & (5.3) & (12.7) & (7.5) & (14.2) & (4.5) & (11.5) & (11.3) & (23.4) \\ 3 & 1,209 & 509 & 700 & 111 & 158 & 350 & 454 & 48 & 88 \\ (10.3) & (6.8) & (16.5) & (12.3) & (24.6) & (5.7) & (13.7) & (11.5) & (29.8) \\ 4 & 862 & 451 & 411 & 139 & 141 & 270 & 237 & 42 & 33 \\ (7.3) & (6.0) & (9.7) & (15.4) & (22.0) & (4.4) & (7.2) & (10.1) & (11.2) \\ 5 & 335 & 229 & 106 & 71 & 32 & 143 & 63 & 15 & 11 \\ (2.9) & (3.1) & (2.5) & (7.9) & (5.0) & (2.3) & (1.9) & (3.6) & (3.7) \\ \end{array}$	3.2)* (47.7) (43.7) (54.1) (50.6)* (50.7) (45.8) 100 808 631 4,818 3,181 280 288 3.5)* (89.7) (98.4)* (77.9) (96.0)* (67.3) (97.6)*	(49.2)* 4,100 (96.5)*	(53.1) 5,906 (78.7)	(51.7) 10,006 (85.1)	Visiting during epidemic season, <i>n</i> (%) Visiting during a certain
The first influenza season $ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1	week of epidemic season, n
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					The first influenza season
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37 35 16 23 17 1 4	37	59	96	1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(0.9)* 540	(0.8) 395	(0.8) 935	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.7) (7.5) (14.2) (4.5) (11.5) (11.3) (23.4)	(12.7)	(5.3)	(8.0)	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00 111 158 350 454 48 88 6.5) (12.3) (24.6) (5.7) (13.7) (11.5) (29.8) 11 139 141 270 237 42 33	700 (16.5) 411	509 (6.8) 451	1,209 (10.3) 862	3
5 335 229 106 71 32 143 63 15 11 5 (2.9) (3.1) (2.5) (7.9) (5.0) (2.3) (1.9) (3.6) (3.7)	9.7) (15.4) (22.0) (4.4) (7.2) (10.1) (11.2)	(9.7)	(6.0)	(7.3)	4
The second influenza (2.0) (0.1) (2.0) (1.0) (0.0) (2.0) (1.0) (0.0) (0.1)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	106 (2.5)	229 (3.1)	335 (2.9)	5
		(2.0)	(0.1)	(2.5)	The second influenza
season 336 220 116 22 20 194 94 4 2	16 22 20 194 94 4 2	116	220	336	season
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.7 (2.4) (3.1) (3.1) (2.8) (1.0) (0.7)	(2.7)	(2.9)	(2.9)	1
2 1,121 728 393 77 56 640 332 11 5 (9.5) (9.7) (9.2) (8.5) (8.7) (10.3) (10.0) (2.6) (1.7) 1.521 022 500 117 61 705 511 21 (2.6) (1.7)	.93 77 56 640 332 11 5 .9.2) (8.5) (8.7) (10.3) (10.0) (2.6) (1.7) .93 .11 .5 .5 .5 .6 .7 .6 <t< td=""><td>393 (9.2)</td><td>728 (9.7)</td><td>1,121 (9.5)</td><td>2</td></t<>	393 (9.2)	728 (9.7)	1,121 (9.5)	2
3 (12.9) (12.4) (13.8) (13.0) (9.5) (12.8) (15.4) (5.0) (5.4)	3.8) (13.0) (9.5) (12.8) (15.4) (5.0) (5.4)	500 (13.8)	933 (12.4)	(12.9)	3
4 1,298 787 511 76 39 684 446 27 26 (11.0) (10.5) (12.0) (8.4) (6.1) (11.1) (13.5) (6.5) (8.8)	11 76 39 684 446 27 26 2 0) (8 4) (6 1) (11 1) (13 5) (6 5) (8 8)	511 (12 0)	787	1,298 (11.0)	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	344	742	1,086	5
(9.2) (9.9) (8.1) (6.5) (1.4) (10.6) (9.7) (6.0) $(4.4)787 546 241 21 8 497 222 28 11$	(6.5) (1.4) (10.6) (9.7) (6.0) (4.4) (41 21 8 497 222 28 11	(8.1) 241	(9.9) 546	(9.2) 787	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5.7 (2.3) (1.2) (8.0) (6.7) (6.7) (3.7)	(5.7)	(7.3)	(6.7)	6
7 420 307 113 12 0 284 103 11 10 (3.6) (4.1) (2.7) (1.3) (0) (4.6) (3.1) (2.6) (3.4)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	113 (2.7)	307 (4.1)	420 (3.6)	7
Symptoms and signs, n (%)		()	(,	()	Symptoms and signs, n (%)
Body temperature, °C, 38.3±0.9 38.2±1.0 38.5±0.8* 38.5±1.0 38.9±0.8* 38.2±1.0 38.5±0.8* 38.1±1.0 38.3±0 mean±SD	5±0.8* 38.5±1.0 38.9±0.8* 38.2±1.0 38.5±0.8* 38.1±1.0 38.3±0.8*	38.5±0.8*	38.2±1.0	38.3±0.9	Body temperature, °C, mean±SD
Body aches 5,243 3,247 1,996 144 89 2,960 1,796 143 111 (44.6) (43.3) (47.0)* (16.0) (13.9) (47.8) (54.2)* (34.4) (37.6)	996 144 89 2,960 1,796 143 111 7 0)* (16 0) (13 9) (47 8) (54 2)* (34 4) (37 6)	1,996 (47 0)*	3,247 (43.3)	5,243 (44 6)	Body aches
Fatigue 4,970 3,096 1,874 145 102 2,774 1,634 177 138	874 145 102 2,774 1,634 177 138	1,874	3,096	4,970	Fatique
(42.3) (41.3) $(44.1)^*$ (16.1) (15.9) (44.8) $(49.3)^*$ (42.5) (46.8) Chilly sensation $3,712$ 2351 $1,361$ 118 75 $2,104$ $1,197$ 129 89 Chilly sensation (31.6) (31.3) (32.0) (13.1) (11.7) (34.0) $(36.1)^*$ (31.0) (30.2)	$(1.1)^{*}$ (16.1) (15.9) (44.8) (49.3) [*] (42.5) (46.8) 361 118 75 2,104 1,197 129 89 2.0) (13.1) (11.7) (34.0) (36.1) [*] (31.0) (30.2)	(44.1)* 1,361 (32.0)	(41.3) 2351 (31.3)	(42.3) 3,712 (31.6)	Chilly sensation
Rigor 308 227 81 23 7 180 66 24 8	31 23 7 180 66 24 8	81	227	308	Rigor
Sleepiness (2.6) (3.0) $(1.9)^{\wedge}$ (2.6) (1.1) (2.9) $(2.0)^{\wedge}$ (5.8) (2.7) Sleepiness 14 9 5 0 0 5 3 4 2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(1.9)^ 5 (0.1)*	(3.0) 9 (0.1)	(2.6) 14 (0.1)	Sleepiness
Headache $4,037$ $2,474$ $1,563$ 131 97 $2,242$ $1,371$ 101 95 (34.3) (33.0) $(36.8)^*$ (14.5) (15.1) (36.2) $(41.4)^*$ (24.3) (32.2)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,563 (36.8)*	2,474 (33.0)	4,037 (34.3)	Headache
Dizziness 4,605 2,799 1,806 191 139 2,478 1,547 130 120	806 191 139 2,478 1,547 130 120 2 5)* (21 2) (21 7) (40 1) (46 7)* (31 3) (40 7)*	1,806 (42 5)*	2,799	4,605	Dizziness
Cough (31.3) (42.3) (21.2) (21.7) (40.7) (40.7) (31.3) (40.7) 7,236 4,055 3,181 504 436 3,320 2,525 231 220 (40.1) (40.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3,181	4,055	7,236	Cough
Cough with sputum (01.0) (54.0) (74.9) (55.9) $(08.0)^{\circ}$ (55.7) $(70.2)^{\circ}$ (55.5) (74.6) Cough with sputum $3,967$ $2,218$ $1,749$ 190 122 $1,870$ $1,468$ 158 159 (33.8) (29.6) $(41.2)^{*}$ (21.1) (19.0) (30.2) $(44.3)^{*}$ (38.0) $(53.9)^{\circ}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(74.9)* 1,749 (41.2)*	(54.0) 2,218 (29.6)	(01.0) 3,967 (33.8)	Cough with sputum
Sore throat 5,270 3,206 2,064 200 138 2,921 1,829 85 97 Sore throat (44.8) (42.7) (48.6)* (22.2) (21.5) (47.2) (55.2) (20.4) (32.9)	064 200 138 2,921 1,829 85 97 3 6)* (22 2) (21 5) (47 2) (55 2) (20 4) (32 9)*	2,064 (48.6)*	3,206 (42 7)	5,270 (44 8)	Sore throat
Throat malaise [†] 646 423 223 12 10 393 198 18 15	23 12 10 393 198 18 15	223	423	646	Throat malaise [†]
Initiality (5.5) (5.6) (5.2)* (1.3) (1.6) (6.4) (6.0) (4.3) (5.1) Rhinorrhea 2,946 1,523 1,423 176 198 1,289 1,139 58 86 (25 1) (20 3) (33 5)* (19 5) (30 9)* (20 8) (34 4)* (13 9) (20 9)	$(2)^{*}$ (1.3) (1.6) (6.4) (6.0) (4.3) (5.1) 423 176 198 1,289 1,139 58 86 3.5)* (19.5) (30.9)* (20.8) (34.4)* (13.9) (20.2)*	(5.2)* 1,423 (33.5)*	(5.6) 1,523 (20.3)	(5.5) 2,946 (25.1)	Rhinorrhea

Continued

		То	otal	0–14 yea	irs group	15–64 ye	ars group	≥65 yea	rs group
Variables	Total (<i>N</i> =11,753)	Influenza negative	Influenza positive	Influenza negative	Influenza positive	Influenza negative	Influenza positive	Influenza negative	Influenza positive
		(<i>N</i> =7,504)	(<i>N</i> =4,249)	(<i>N</i> =901)	(<i>N</i> =641)	(<i>N</i> =6,187)	(<i>N</i> =3,313)	(<i>N</i> =416)	(<i>N</i> =295)
Nasal stuffinges	1149	702	447	89	57	597	374	16	16
Nasai stunness	(9.8)	(9.4)	(10.5)*	(9.9)	(8.9)	(9.6)	(11.3)*	(3.8)	(5.4)
Hemontysis	7	5	2	0	0	3	1	2	1
Tiemoptysis	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.05)	(0)	(0)	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.5)	(0.3)
Chest nain	231	135	96	6	1	118	83	11	12
onest pain	(2.0)	(1.8)	(2.3)	(0.7)	(0.2)	(1.9)	(2.5)	(2.6)	(4.1)
Shortness of breath	195	121	74	3	1	94	48	24	25
	(1.7)	(1.6)	(1.7)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(1.5)	(1.4)	(5.8)	(8.5)
Dyspnea	91	54	37	0	0	40	31	14	6
Djoplica	(0.8)	(0.7)	(0.9)	(0)	(0)	(0.6)	(0.9)	(3.4)	(2.0)
Palpitation	198	135	63	2	4	115	51	18	8
	(1.7)	(1.8)	(1.5)	(0.2)	(0.6)	(1.9)	(1.5)	(4.3)	(2.7)
Diarrhea	397	304	93	14	6	268	79	22	8
2.004	(3.4)	(4.1)	(2.2)*	(1.6)	(0.9)	(4.3)	(2.4)*	(5.3)	(2.7)
Stomachache	265	195	70	34	27	144	38	17	5
	(2.3)	(2.6)	(1.6)*	(3.8)	(4.2)	(2.3)	(1.1)*	(4.1)	(1.7)
Nausea	811	515	296	22	32	457	238	36	26
	(6.9)	(6.9)	(7.0)	(2.4)	(5.0)*	(7.4)	(7.2)	(8.7)	(8.8)
Vomiting	585	389	196	56	42	297	126	36	28
	(5.0)	(5.2)	(4.6)	(6.2)	(6.6)	(4.8)	(3.8)*	(8.7)	(9.5)
Coniunctivitis	3	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
001,011100	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0.05)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Impaired sense of smell	4	4	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	(0.03)	(0.1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0.03)	(0)	(0.5)	(0)
Impaired taste	16	14	2	0	0	13	1	1	1
F	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.05)	(0)	(0)	(0.2)	(0.03)	(0.2)	(0.3)
Rash	42	32	10	6	3	23	(3	U
	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.7)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.7)	(0)

Abbreviation: IQR=interquartile range; SD=standard deviation.

* The difference between influenza-positive and -negative cases was statistically significant (*P*<0.05).
 * Pharyngeal discomfort included feelings of dryness, itching, and other discomfort in the throat, excluding sore throat.

Symptoms	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity
Body aches	0.997	1.000	0.996
Fatigue	0.997	0.987	1.000
Chills	0.994	1.000	0.993
Rigor	1.000	1.000	1.000
Somnolence	1.000	-	1.000
Headache	0.994	0.985	0.996
Dizziness	1.000	1.000	1.000
Cough	0.994	0.991	0.995
Expectoration	1.000	1.000	1.000
Sore throat	1.000	1.000	1.000
Pharyngeal discomfort	0.997	1.000	0.997
Rhinorrhea	0.994	0.949	1.000
Nasal congestion	1.000	1.000	1.000
Hemoptysis	1.000	1.000	1.000
Chest pain	1.000	1.000	1.000
Shortness of breath	0.994	1.000	0.993
Dyspnea	1.000	1.000	1.008
Palpitation	1.000	1.000	1.000
Diarrhea	0.997	1.000	0.997
Abdominal pain	0.994	1.000	0.993
Nausea	0.997	1.000	0.997
Vomiting	0.994	0.931	1.000
Conjunctivitis	1.000	1.000	1.000
Impaired sense of smell	1.000	1.000	1.000
Impaired taste	0.997	1.000	0.997
Erythra	1.000	1.000	1.000
Total	0.997	0.991	0.998

Note: -: There were no patients with positive somnolence in the model training set.

S4

Dataset	Models	Accuracy (95% CI)	AUC (95% CI)	Threshold*	Sensitivity	Specificity
Total population						
	Model_1	0.841 (0.835, 0.848)	0.926 (0.922, 0.931)	0.500	0.833	0.849
	Model_2	0.856 (0.849, 0.862)	0.934 (0.930, 0.938)	0.486	0.845	0.867
	Model_3	0.795 (0.787, 0.802)	0.890 (0.884, 0.895)	0.496	0.778	0.812
	Model_4	0.826 (0.820, 0.833)	0.910 (0.905, 0.915)	0.483	0.798	0.855
0–14 years age group						
	Model_1	0.703 (0.678, 0.726)	0.762 (0.738, 0.787)	0.500	0.794	0.612
	Model_2	0.700 (0.675, 0.724)	0.762 (0.738, 0.787)	0.499	0.787	0.613
	Model_3	0.692 (0.668, 0.716)	0.780 (0.757, 0.803)	0.469	0.798	0.586
	Model_4	0.671 (0.646, 0.696)	0.742 (0.717, 0.767)	0.494	0.698	0.644
15–64 years age group						
	Model_1	0.838 (0.831, 0.845)	0.923 (0.918, 0.928)	0.516	0.793	0.883
	Model_2	0.828 (0.820, 0.835)	0.911 (0.905, 0.916)	0.510	0.786	0.869
	Model_3	0.807 (0.799, 0.815)	0.897 (0.891, 0.903)	0.484	0.790	0.823
	Model_4	0.813 (0.805, 0.820)	0.898 (0.892, 0.904)	0.463	0.786	0.839
≥65 years age group						
	Model_1	0.778 (0.745, 0.809)	0.848 (0.820, 0.877)	0.531	0.793	0.763
	Model_2	0.802 (0.770, 0.831)	0.871 (0.844, 0.897)	0.502	0.802	0.802
	Model_3	0.836 (0.806, 0.863)	0.924 (0.906, 0.942)	0.570	0.817	0.855
	Model_4	0.772 (0.739, 0.803)	0.860 (0.833, 0.887)	0.470	0.784	0.760

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S3. Performance of machine learning-based prediction models for influenza in the training dataset.

Abbreviation: Cl=confidence interval.

* The maximum Youden index was used to determine the optimal threshold for influenza prediction.



SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1. Flow chart for patient enrollment, dataset establishment, and statistical analysis of prediction models for influenza in this study.

Note: Data preprocessing: the sub-dataset for developing and validating the influenza prediction models consisted of data from cases that underwent influenza laboratory testing. First, the sub-dataset was randomly partitioned into an 80% training dataset and a 20% testing dataset, stratified by infection status. Second, missing values in the training dataset were imputed using multiple imputation via the Mice package. Third, the training dataset was used to extract important candidate variables and remove unimportant variables using the Boruta algorithm. Finally, the data were balanced using the synthetic minority oversampling technique for nominal and continuous algorithm via the Themis package. Machine learning-based modeling: after data preprocessing, we developed four candidate models on each training dataset of the total population and three age subgroups (0–14 years, 15–64 years, and ≥65 years) to predict influenza. The independent variables included in the four models were combinations of two epidemiological characteristics variables and other important variables extracted by the Boruta algorithm. We performed ten-fold cross-validations to tune the parameters using the Caret package and developed machine learning-based models on each training dataset using XGBOOST algorithm. Model_1 included two epidemiological characteristics and other important variables. Model_2 included visiting during a certain week of epidemic season and other important variables. Model_4 included important variables except epidemiological characteristics.

Abbreviation: AI=artificial intelligence; SMOTE-NC=Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique for Nominal and Continuous.

REFERENCES

- 1. Azziz Baumgartner E, Dao CN, Nasreen S, Bhuiyan MU, Mah-E-muneer S, Mamun AA, et al. Seasonality, timing, and climate drivers of influenza activity worldwide. J Infect Dis 2012;206(6):838 46. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis467.
- 2. Ye CC, Zhu WP, Yu JX, Li ZJ, Zhang YZ, Wang YP, et al. Understanding the complex seasonality of seasonal influenza A and B virus transmission: evidence from six years of surveillance data in Shanghai, China. Int J Infect Dis 2019;81:57 65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2019.01.027.
- 3. Fitzner J, Qasmich S, Mounts AW, Alexander B, Besselaar T, Briand S, et al. Revision of clinical case definitions: influenza-like illness and severe acute respiratory infection. Bull World Health Organ 2018;96(2):122 8. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.17.194514.
- 4. National Health Commission of the People's Republic China. National influenza surveillance program (2017 version). http://www.nhc.gov.cn/jkj/s3577/ 201704/ed1498d9e64144738cc7f8db61a39506.shtml. [2017-4-2]. (In Chinese).
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. U.S. influenza surveillance: purpose and methods. https://www.cdc.gov/fluview/overview/?CDC_ AAref_Val. [2023-11-5].

Infodemic Management and Public Health Emergency Preparedness Capacities — Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan, 2024

Majid Ali Tahir^{1,2}; Ijaz ul Haq^{1,3,4,#}; Shahbaz Ahmad Zakki¹; Fazli Akbar⁵

Summary

What is already known about this topic?

The infodemics can increase the burden of outbreaks and emergencies. Many of the studies documented the impact and emergence of the infodemic situation during epidemics or pandemics in recent years. There is limited evidence on the preparedness and readiness of health departments to effectively manage the infodemic situation.

What is added by this report?

This research provides a comprehensive assessment of the health department's capabilities in crisis emergency risk communication and infodemic management, identifying key best practices, challenges and bottlenecks. It contributes to the field by offering a framework and methodologies for evaluating these capacities, aiding in improving infectious diseases outbreak response public health emergency management.

What are the implications for public health practice?

Countries and health departments can benefit from these insights by assessing the level of preparedness and readiness in this field and to implement targeted interventions to enhance their preparedness and response capabilities to misinformation and disinformation.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The spread of misinformation and disinformation during infectious disease outbreaks and public health emergencies can significantly impede effective public health responses. This infodemic phenomenon creates confusion and erodes public trust, leading to uncertainty in crisis situations. This study aimed to assess the health department's capacity and preparedness capabilities infodemic in and enhance management to future emergency

preparedness in accordance with International Health Regulations (IHR)-2005 guidelines.

Methods: A mixed-methods cross-sectional study was conducted in the health department of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Semi-structured interviews with experts were conducted in August-September 2024 using a self-administered assessment tool based on IHR-2005 guidelines, supplemented by analysis of departmental documents and supporting evidence. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, with achievement levels scored from 0% to 100% across five categories.

Results: The overall preparedness score was 21.7%, indicating limited capacities and capabilities. The risk communication and community engagement (RCCE) coordination system showed some strengths, with 80% of focal persons designated and moderate intersectoral coordination (40%),but lacked emergency spokesperson training. Community engagement activities demonstrated moderate effectiveness (40%). Key weaknesses included insufficient human resources (20%), inadequate technological infrastructure (20%), absence of infodemic analysis (0%), and lack of joint infodemic planning (0%). Significant delays were observed in identifying and responding to potentially harmful misinformation.

Conclusions: Despite some effective practices in community engagement, substantial gaps exist in infodemic management preparedness. Critical deficiencies were identified in human and technological resources and infodemic response measures. Priority areas for improvement include human resource development, infodemic surveillance systems, digital tools implementation, and enhanced collaboration. The adoption of innovative tools and streamlined processes is essential for strengthening emergency risk communication preparedness.

The spread of misinformation and disinformation

alongside infectious disease outbreaks can significantly impede public health responses. This study assessed the department's health capacity in infodemic management to enhance future emergency response capabilities. A mixed-methods cross-sectional study was conducted in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa health department using semi-structured expert interviews based on International Health Regulations (IHR)-2005 guidelines, complemented by departmental document review of risk communication capacities. The overall preparedness score was 21.7%, indicating substantial deficiencies in capacities and capabilities. While some strengths were observed in the risk communication and community engagement (RCCE) coordination system, with 80% designated focal persons, intersectoral coordination remained moderate (40%) with notable gaps in emergency spokesperson training. Community engagement activities demonstrated moderate effectiveness (40%). Key weaknesses included limited human resources (20%), limited technological infrastructure (20%), absence of infodemic analysis (0%), and significant delays in identifying and responding to misinformation spread. Despite some effective practices in coordination and community engagement, critical gaps persist in infodemic management preparedness, particularly in human and technological resources and response measures. Priority areas for improvement include human resource development, infodemic surveillance systems, digital tool implementation, and enhanced stakeholder collaboration.

Public health emergencies and disease outbreaks can be significantly exacerbated by the concurrent spread misinformation and disinformation. of While misinformation refers to false information shared without malicious intent, disinformation represents deliberately misleading content. The rapid dissemination of diverse and often contradictory information during outbreaks or emergencies can precipitate an infodemic situation. An infodemic is characterized by an overabundance of information, including false or misleading content, in digital and physical environments during a disease outbreak (1). These infodemics can propagate rapidly and achieve widespread reach, particularly during the initial phases of an epidemic or emergency when official information is limited (2-4). Pakistan's second Joint External Evaluation (JEE) identified substantial gaps in health emergency preparedness, risk communication, and infodemic management systems (5). The Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province, operating under a devolved health system, lacks а comprehensive risk communication and community engagement plan, though some informal community engagement activities are conducted within the existing primary healthcare framework (5-6). This study represents an effort to assess the capacities within Khyber Pakhtunkhwa to identify best practices, gaps, and challenges. The research aims to identify enablers, bottlenecks, and existing infodemic management capacities to strengthen health department preparedness for future public health crises. Furthermore, the findings and methodological approach will contribute to the evolving field of infodemic management by providing valuable insights for low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in assessing their risk communication and infodemic response capabilities.

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Provincial Health Department of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. A total of 19 subject matter experts (SMEs) were recruited through departmental correspondence, comprising 15 public health experts and 4 additional specialists (Supplementary Table S1, available at https://weekly.chinacdc.cn/). These SMEs were nominated by their respective organizations, representing the animal health department, climate change, environment, and information and public relations departments.

Primary data collection occurred from August to September 2024 using a self-administered semistructured tool based on the Health Emergency Preparedness Self-Assessment (HEPSA) guidelines developed by the European CDC (7). The tool was modified and contextualized to assess RCCE and infodemic capacities as recommended in the IHR Benchmarks 2023 (8). Focus group interviews were conducted at the provincial health department using a consensus-building approach, peer-to-peer as recommended by Joint External Evaluation (4). Additionally, public health planning documents from 2021 onward were reviewed.

Data analysis employed descriptive statistics following the scoring guidelines of the HEPSA tool (7), GHS Index, and CEDM tool developed by New Zealand Ministry of Civil Defense and Emergency Management (9). A percentage-based scoring system was implemented to assess departmental achievement across various progress levels. The scale ranged from "Never" (0%) indicating complete absence of implementation to "Always" (100%) signifying full achievement with sustainable practices. Intermediate levels included "Infrequently" (20%) for initial stages, "Sometimes" (40%) for partial progress without systematic approach, "Often" (60%) for demonstrated commitment with unclear full attainment, and "Mostly" (80%) for substantial progress with minor limitations (Supplementary Table S2, available at https://weekly.chinacdc.cn/). Both descriptive statistical analysis and qualitative thematic analysis were conducted on the primary data and departmental documentation.

The overall score for Risk Communication and Infodemic Management preparedness was 21.7%, indicating significant deficiencies in the health department's capacity and readiness to manage infodemic situations during large-scale outbreaks and public health emergencies.

As shown in Table 1, the RCCE coordination system demonstrated variable capacity across different domains. The department consistently maintained designated RCCE focal persons with dedicated authority (80% achievement). However, public health spokespersons were appointed only during emergencies and lacked formal RCCE training. Intersectoral coordination operated primarily on an ad hoc basis, achieving moderate capacity (40%). The overall capacity distribution is illustrated in Supplementary Figure S1 (available at https://weekly.chinacdc.cn/).

Figure 1 reveals critically limited technological infrastructure for RCCE and infodemic management, with an overall score of 12%. The department's technological readiness was particularly concerning, with only one training session conducted on

communication channel utilization (Table 1).

The health department's infodemic analysis and risk assessment capabilities showed significant limitations. While traditional methods were employed for infodemic analysis, achieving a 40% score for rumor verification, sentiment analysis implementation remained minimal at 20%. Only 20% of staff received training in infodemic surveillance and analysis (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

Assessment of the workforce and response system revealed several areas requiring enhancement. Although the department maintains dedicated personnel for risk communication, infodemic surveillance, and community engagement, other metrics indicated substantial weaknesses. Notably, staff training exercises in infodemic surveillance and management were conducted infrequently, achieving only a 20% implementation rate (Figure 1).

Community engagement emerged as a relative strength within the health department's capabilities. The department maintained a moderate social media community engagement system (40%) and conducted numerous community awareness and engagement sessions. The department demonstrated moderate capacity (40%) in executing community awareness and engagement initiatives, though partnerships with media and other agencies remained primarily informal (Figure 2).

Quantitative assessment revealed that 14 staff members were engaged in community outreach activities, while risk communication activities were supported by only 6 staff members. Response time

TABLE 1. Preparedness capacity scores of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department for infodemic management.

Sr#	Indicator	Score	Remarks
1	RCCE focal person	80%	RCCE focal person and spokesperson were identified.
2	Infodemic surveillance	20%	Lacks a proper system for online infodemic surveillance and monitoring.
3	Tools for surveillance	0%	No software available for monitoring online information flow.
4	Rumor verification	40%	Somewhat functional rumors verification system in place.
5	Infodemic analysis	0%	Lacks a system for infodemic analysis.
6	Sentiments analyses	20%	Sentiment analysis not being used.
7	Trained staff	20%	Only 2 staff trained on infodemic surveillance and analysis.
8	Social media engagement	40%	Somewhat functional social media community engagement system in place.
9	Active partnerships	20%	Only 3 active partnerships with WHO, UNICEF and Polio EOC.
10	Information sharing	0%	No information sharing meetings or briefings about misinformation or disinformation.
11	Joint planning for infodemic response	0%	No joint action plans for infodemic response.

Note: This table presents the preparedness capacity scores of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department for infodemic management. A score of 100% indicates full preparedness, while 0% indicates no preparedness. The "Remarks" column provides contextual explanations for the assigned scores.

Abbreviation: RCCE=risk communication and community engagement.



FIGURE 1. Indicator-wise risk communication and community engagement preparedness status of health department in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Note: This bar graph illustrates the preparedness and readiness scores for the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department across various risk communication and community engagement indicators. The y-axis shows achievement scores as percentages (0–100%), while the x-axis displays the different indicators of risk communication and infodemic management capacities and capabilities.



FIGURE 2. Workforce capacity and capability of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department for infodemic management. Note: This bar graph depicts the workforce capacity and capabilities of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department for infodemic management. The y-axis quantifies staffing levels, capacity building initiatives, and training completion rates, while the x-axis presents key indicators related to workforce competencies and infodemic management practices.

analysis indicated concerning delays: 25 days for dengue-related misinformation detection and 30 days for cholera-related false information response. The department averaged 18.3 days to identify new misinformation threats and 6.6 days to implement responses (Supplementary Figure S2, available at https://weekly.chinacdc.cn/).

DISCUSSION

The findings of our study revealed both strengths and limitations in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department's capacity for RCCE and infodemic management. Notable strengths include an established RCCE coordination system, dedicated focal person designation, effective community engagement practices, and demonstrated leadership in crisis communication. However, significant gaps exist in technological infrastructure, workforce capacity, and infodemic analysis capabilities, indicating lower levels of preparedness. While some training initiatives have been implemented, there are substantial deficiencies in capacity testing, operational training, simulation exercises, and overall responsiveness to managing misand disinformation.

Our assessment identified critical challenges in the health department's preparedness for managing misinformation during infectious disease outbreaks and public health emergencies. A key finding was the limited capacity for infodemic surveillance and monitoring, a common challenge among LMICs that have yet to develop systematic monitoring capabilities (1). The department's technological infrastructure for online information monitoring and infodemic analysis remains inadequate. This observation aligns with research by Donelle et al., who identified insufficient investment in these tools as a significant barrier to effective health communication and emergency response (10).

Our analysis revealed that the health department predominantly relies on traditional methods and lacks adequately trained personnel for information flow monitoring, rumor management, and news verification. Current literature advocates for а paradigm shift toward modern information monitoring and infodemic management approaches, incorporating active social listening and digital analysis tools (11-12). The current situation results in significant delays averaging 18.3 days for identifying and 6.6 days for responding to new misinformation and disinformation threats — highlighting the urgent need for enhanced workforce development, technological infrastructure, and capacity building. These findings are consistent with Purnat's research emphasizing the critical importance of training health professionals in infodemic management strategies (13).

Community engagement emerged as a relative strength of the health department, demonstrated through effective utilization of social media platforms, community sessions, and strategic partnerships. This aligns with existing literature suggesting that sustained community engagement efforts are crucial for mounting timely responses to future outbreaks The implementation of collaborative (14 - 15).community engagement approaches can significantly risk communication infodemic enhance and management capabilities for emergency response.

This study's scope was limited to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan, with input from only 19 subject matter experts and no community perspectives, potentially affecting its generalizability to the national level. The findings may not fully represent risk communication capacities across operational and tactical levels.

Our assessment identified critical gaps in infodemic management preparedness, particularly regarding technological resources, infodemic analysis methods, risk assessment protocols, response times, and community information sharing. While the existing RCCE coordination system and community engagement activities provide a valuable foundation, developing a comprehensive infodemic management strategy is essential. We recommend that the health department prioritize investments in enhanced technological infrastructure, implement robust health infodemic surveillance systems, adopt advanced analytical methods, increase trained personnel, and strengthen risk communication through active stakeholder engagement to effectively manage future public health emergencies.

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgement: The support of leadership and subject matter experts from the Health Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Livestock Department KP, WHO country and provincial office Pakistan, UNICEF Pakistan office, and JSI KP office who participated in the study and shared documentation on preparedness and planning; the Deanship for Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia for funding support; and the National IHR-2005 Focal Person of Pakistan at NIH for coordinating support of this study.

Ethical statement: While this study did not involve human subjects research, as it focused on organizational and institutional preparedness for risk communication, ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Review Board of the University of Haripur, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.

Funding: Supported by funding from the Deanship for Scientific Research, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia (KFU250563).

doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2025.060

[#] Corresponding author: Ijaz ul Haq, ijazbrt@outlook.com.

Copyright © 2025 by Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. All content is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).

Submitted: December 24, 2024 Accepted: March 06, 2025 Issued: March 14, 2025

REFERENCES

- Chiou H, Voegeli C, Wilhelm E, Kolis J, Brookmeyer K, Prybylski D. The future of infodemic surveillance as public health surveillance. Emerg Infect Dis 2022;28(13):S121 – 8. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid2813.220696.
- Bjola C. Digital diplomacy as world disclosure: the case of the COVID-19 pandemic. Place Brand Public Dipl 2022;18(1):22 – 5. https://doi. org/10.1057/s41254-021-00242-2.
- 3. Kim HK, Ahn J, Atkinson L, Kahlor LA. Effects of COVID-19 misinformation on information seeking, avoidance, and processing: a

¹ Department of Public Health & Nutrition, The University of Haripur, Haripur, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan; ² Center for Disease Control, National Institutes of Health, Islamabad, Pakistan; ³ Department of Clinical Nutrition, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia; ⁴ Department of Nursing, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China; ⁵ Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China.

multicountry comparative study. Sci Commun 2020;42(5):586 - 615. https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547020959670.

- World Health Organization. Joint external evaluation tool: international health regulations (2005). 3rd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2022.
- 5. WHO E. 2nd Joint External Evaluation of Pakistan. Egypt, Cairo: WHO, EMRO Office; 2024.
- Zarocostas J. How to fight an infodemic. Lancet 2020;395(10225):676. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30461-X.
- ECDC. HEPSA health emergency preparedness self-assessment tool, User guide. Stockholm: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; 2018 Jun. Report No.: TQ-02-18-883-EN-N.
- World Health Organization. WHO benchmarks for strengthening health emergency capacities. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023 Dec. Report No.: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- 9. Napier NZJNZ. Ministry of Civil Defence and Emergency Management. 2018.
- Donelle L, Comer L, Hiebert B, Hall J, Shelley JJ, Smith MJ, et al. Use of digital technologies for public health surveillance during the COVID-19 pandemic: a scoping review. Digit Health 2023;9: 20552076231173220. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/20552076231173 220.

- Wong A, Ho S, Olusanya O, Antonini MV, Lyness D. The use of social media and online communications in times of pandemic COVID-19. J Intensive Care Soc 2021;22(3):255 – 60. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1751143720966280.
- Văduva LL, Nedelcu AM, Stancu D, Bălan C, Purcărea IM, Gurău M, et al. Digital technologies for public health services after the COVID-19 pandemic: a risk management analysis. Sustainability 2023;15(4):3146. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043146.
- Purnat T, Bertrand-Ferrandis C, Yau B, Ishizumi A, White B, Briand S, et al. Training health professionals in infodemic management to mitigate the harm caused by infodemics. Eur J Public Health 2022;32 (Suppl 3):ckac129.324. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckac129.324.
- Ryan B, Johnston KA, Taylor M, McAndrew R. Community engagement for disaster preparedness: a systematic literature review. Int J Disaster Risk Reduct 2020;49:101655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr. 2020.101655.
- Tangcharoensathien V, Calleja N, Nguyen T, Purnat T, D'Agostino M, Garcia-Saiso S, et al. Framework for managing the COVID-19 infodemic: methods and results of an online, crowdsourced WHO technical consultation. J Med Internet Res 2020;22(6):e19659. https:// doi.org/10.2196/19659.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

SUFFLEIVIENTART TABLE ST. Demographic and departmental information of the responden	SUPPLEMENTARY	TABLE S1.	Demographic and	departmental	information of	f the responde
---	---------------	-----------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	----------------

Sr. #	Name	Designation	Organization	Unit/field	Area of operations
1.	Respondent 1	Surveillance coordinator	Department of Health	IDSRS	Disease surveillance and response
2.	Respondent 2	Health education officer	Department of Health, KP	Media information wing	Public information and media
3.	Respondent 3	PRO	Information and media	Media information wing	Public information and media
4.	Respondent 4	DDO training	Department of Health, KP	Public Health Emergencies	FETP and workforce
5.	Respondent 5	Deputy Director, Programs	Department of Health, KP	Coordination and PHEOC management	FETP and Workforce
6.	Respondent 6	Public health officer	Department of Health, KP	PDSRU, PHEOC	Emergency management
7.	Respondent 7	Public health officer	Department of Health, KP	PDSRU, PHEOC	Emergency management
8.	Respondent 8	Public health officer	Department of Health, KP	PDSRU, PHEOC	Emergency management
9.	Respondent 9	Public health officer	Department of Health, KP	PDSRU, PHEOC	Surveillance and response
10.	Respondent 10	Surveillance data coordinator	Department of Health, KP	IDSRS	Disease surveillance and response
11.	Respondent 11	One Health officer	Livestock Department, KP	Livestock Department, KP	One Health coordination
12.	Respondent 12	Veterinary officer	Livestock Department, KP	Livestock Department, KP	Zoonotic disease response
13.	Respondent 13	Provincial staff officer	EPI KP	EPI and vaccination	Immunization and vaccination
14.	Respondent 14	Scientific officer	Climate Change, Forestry, Environment & Wildlife	Climate change and public relation	Public relation
15.	Respondent 15	WHO KP Office	WHO provincial officer	Emergency management	Information management and media
16.	Respondent 16	Program officer capacity building	WHO provincial officer	WHO	Surveillance and response
17.	Respondent 17	Program officer	UNICEF	Public information	Community engagement and risk communication
18.	Respondent 18	Coordinator	USAD-JSI country office	Public health surveillance	Surveillance and response
19.	Respondent 19	Communication coordinator	EMPHNET Office KP	Communication and community engagement	RCCE

Abbreviation: DDO=deputy district officer; PRO=public relations officer; IDSRS=integrated disease surveillance and response system; PDSRU=provincial disease surveillance and response unit; PHEOC=public health emergency operations center; FETP=field epidemiology and training program; EPI=expended program on immunization.

SUPPLEMENTARY	TABLE S2. Scoring	quide and	achievement	scale for	capacity a	and capabilities.
	J	J · · · · ·				

Score	Frequency scale	Achievement scale and attributes
No (0%)	Never	Not started, absence of work or activities
20%	Infrequently	Minimal advancement, initial stages (i.e., started by partners or government without dedicated staff or funding approved)
40%	Sometimes	Some progress but with a lack of a systematic approach (partner funded and absence of domestic funding or staff)
60%	Often	Organizational commitment attained (some level of government staff and funding dedicated) or considerable progress made, but achievements are not yet comprehensive of needs or requirements
80%	Mostly	Substantial achievement with dedicated staff but some recognized limitations in capacities, capabilities and/or resources
Yes (100%)	Always	Comprehensive achievement with sustained commitment and capacities at all levels (capacity or capability fulfill in indicators)

Note: This table presents the scoring guide and achievement scale used to assess the health department's capacities and capabilities. The scoring system employs a frequency-based scale with corresponding analytical values ranging from 0% to 100% (0%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, 100%).



SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1. RCCE Coordination System in Place at Health Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Note: This bar graph depicts the preparedness capacity of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department's infodemic management system across multiple indicators. The y-axis displays the preparedness score in percentage (0–100%), while the x-axis presents the distinct components of the RCCE coordination system.



SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S2. Outbreak Response and Infodemic Management from July 2023 to August 2024 by Health Department.

Note: This bar graph compares the outbreak response and infodemic management efforts of the Health Department for three different outbreaks: Dengue, Crimean Congo Hemorrhagic Fever (CCHF), and Cholera focusing on the timeline of the detection and response to false information. The y-axis represents the number of days, while the x-axis shows the different outbreaks.

S2

A Prediction Model and Method for Indoor Radon Concentration by A Radon Simulation Chamber

Shuyuan Liu¹; Li Zhang²; Wei Cheng³; Yongzhong Ma⁴; Kuke Ding^{1,2,#}

ABSTRACT

Introduction: This study aimed to establish a new predictive model for indoor radon concentrations.

Methods: We constructed a radon experimental model using prefabricated block walls and measured surface radon exhalation rates across multi-layer walls. The geometric parameters of various building envelopes (walls, floors, and roofs) were incorporated to calculate indoor radon concentrations from each source. Natural ventilation rates were also considered in developing the indoor radon concentration prediction model.

Results: Using closed-loop measurements, we determined the surface radon exhalation rates of prefabricated block walls and established fitting functions for multiple walls under varying temperatures and thicknesses. Based on indoor geometric parameters and natural ventilation rates, we developed a comprehensive prediction model for indoor radon concentrations. The model accurately predicted indoor equilibrium radon concentrations from prefabricated walls (thickness 0.155-0.268 m) at 23 °C, with deviations less than 10% from measured values within ventilation rates of 0.115±0.015 /h.

Conclusions: This scientifically rigorous and practical approach to predicting radon concentration, based on building composition and measurements of radon exhalation rates, enables proactive assessment of indoor radon concentrations and facilitates evidence-based health risk prevention strategies.

Radon (222 Rn), a naturally occurring radioactive gas, is the second leading cause of lung cancer and has been associated with leukemia (1-4). The concentration of indoor radon has become an increasing public health concern. To protect public health, it is essential to incorporate predictive modeling of indoor radon levels during the architectural design phase. Such modeling enables optimal selection of building locations, appropriate sizing of doors and windows, and evidence-based selection of construction materials. By optimizing building structural parameters, it becomes possible to prevent elevated indoor radon levels before construction completion, thereby safeguarding public health. Therefore, developing an accurate indoor radon concentration prediction model is crucial.

METHODS

Prefabrication of the Block Walls and Construction of A Radon Experimental Model

A device for measuring radon concentration is illustrated in Figure 1. The prefabricated block wall was sealed by applying an anti-radon coating to four or five surfaces, leaving one or two surfaces exposed for testing.

Calculation of the Surface Radon Exhalation Rate from Various Source Items in Indoor Buildings

To determine indoor radon concentrations originating from building envelopes, we first established a fitting function for radon exhalation rate as a function of temperature and thickness using multivariate nonlinear regression analysis. We then calculated the total radon contribution by multiplying the surface area of each building envelope by its respective radon exhalation rate. For structures with curved interior surfaces, as illustrated in Figure 2, we employed geometric transformation relations to compute the effective surface area.

Establishment of a Prediction Model for Indoor Radon Concentration Under Natural Air Exchange Conditions

We developed a prediction model for indoor radon concentration that accounts for radon exhalation rate



FIGURE 1. Schematic diagram of prefabricated block wall and experimental setup.



FIGURE 2. A schematic diagram illustrating the overall spatial structure of an indoor environment, including the shapes of different main building envelopes.

Notes: R1 is the roof of regular shape; R2 is the roof of irregular shape; W1 is the wall of regular shape; W2 is the wall of irregular shape; G1 is the ground floor.

from each building envelope at varying temperatures and thicknesses under conditions where building materials are the primary radon source and windows and doors remain closed. For scenarios where the bottom layer consists of soil and outdoor radon primarily originates from soil exhalation, we derived an expression for indoor radon concentration under ventilated conditions with open windows.

RESULTS

Measurement of Radon Activity Concentration and Surface Radon Exhalation Rate Fitting Function

Using autoclaved concrete blocks as raw materials,

we measured the surface radon exhalation rates of prefabricated block walls (one radon exposure surface) at three distinct thicknesses and five constant temperatures. Based on these measurements, we developed a fitting function to characterize the relationship between radon exhalation rate and the variables of temperature and wall thickness. The resulting fitting model is illustrated in Figure 3, with the corresponding mathematical expression presented in Equation (1).

$$H(X_{si}, T_{sj}) = -78.49982 + 804.1884X_{si} - 0.091T_{sj} - 1703.19947X_{si}^{2} + 1.05192X_{si} \cdot (1)$$
$$T_{sj}(R^{2} = 0.93376)$$

In Equation (1), $H(X_{si}, T_{sj})$ represents the surface radon exhalation rate of the building envelope within

FIGURE 3. The fitting model of surface radon exhalation rate of block walls with varying temperatures and thicknesses. Notes: *H* is the radon exhalation; X_{si} is the structural thickness; T_{si} is the indoor temperature; R^2 is the goodness of fit.

the target room (Bq/(m²·h)); X_{si} denotes the thickness of the building envelope (m); and T_{sj} indicates the indoor temperature (°C).

Expression for the Surface Radon Exhalation Rate from Walls with Multiple Materials

The total radon exhalation rate in walls composed of multiple materials can be expressed as the superposition of individual radon exhalation rates from each constituent material.

$$H(X_{si}, T_{sj}) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{k=z} H(X_{si}, T_{sj}) S_{sk}}{S}$$
(2)

In Equation (2), S_{sk} represents the actual exposed surface area (m²) of a specific material within the building envelopes; z represents kinds of materials; Sdenotes the total surface area of all building envelopes.

Surface area calculations vary by the type of building envelopes. For regular rectangular walls, as follows:

$$S_{sk1} = \theta_b \times \theta_w \tag{3}$$

Where, S_{sk1} is the actual exposed surface area of regular rectangular wall (m²); θ_b represents the height of wall (m); θ_w represents the width of wall (m).

For building envelopes with curved interior surfaces, surface area calculations utilize geometric transformation relations, such as irregular arc walls, the structure is transformed into a cylindrical cavity, as follows:

$$S_{sk2} = \varepsilon_{\varsigma} h_{\varsigma} \tag{4}$$

Where, $\varepsilon_{\varsigma} = 2\pi r_{\varsigma}$, S_{sk2} is the actual exposed surface area of irregular arc wall (m²); ε_{ς} represents the length of each curve (m); h_{ς} represents the height of wall (m).

Expression of A Prediction Model for Indoor Radon Concentration

For rooms where indoor radon primarily originates from construction materials, the radon concentration under natural air exchange conditions can be expressed by the following equation:

$$C(t) = \frac{H(X_{si}, T_{sj})S + \eta V_{eff}C_0}{\lambda_{eff}V_{eff}} + (\frac{H(X_{si}, T_{sj})S + \eta V_{eff}C_0}{\lambda_{eff}V_{eff}} - C_0)e^{-(\lambda + R + \eta)t}$$
(5)

In Equation (5), C(t) represents the radon concentration (Bq/m³); λ_{eff} is the effective decay constant (1/s); $\lambda_{eff} = \lambda_{Rn} + R + \eta$, 1/s; λ_{Rn} denotes the

radon decay constant, $\lambda_{Rn} = 0.00756/h$; *R* represents the inverse diffusion coefficient, which characterizes the diffusion of indoor air radon into construction materials (1/s); η is the ventilation rate (1/h); and V_{eff} represents the effective room volume, defined as the total room volume minus the volume occupied by objects (m³); C_0 is the background radon concentration (Bq/m³).

When sufficient time has elapsed for indoor radon accumulation to reach equilibrium, the concentration can be calculated using:

$$C_{equ} = \frac{H(X_{si}, T_{sj})S + \eta V_{eff}C_0}{\lambda_{eff}V_{eff}}$$
(6)

In Equation (6), C_{equ} represents the concentration when indoor radon accumulation to reach equilibrium (Bq/m³). In typical residential buildings, the indoor ventilation rate exceeds the radon decay rate by more than two orders of magnitude. Therefore, both the radon decay and indoor anti-diffusion effects can be neglected, yielding $\lambda_{eff} = \lambda_{Rn} + R + \eta \approx \eta$. Under these conditions, Equation (6) simplifies to:

$$C_{equ} = \frac{H(X_{si}, T_{sj})S}{\eta V_{eff}} + C_0 = C_{H(X_{si}, T_{sj})} + C_0$$
(7)

In Equation (7), $C_{H(X_{ii},T_{ij})}$ is defined as the indoor radon concentration contributed by construction materials, which can be calculated using:

$$C_{H(X_{si}, T_{sj})} = \frac{H(X_{si}, T_{sj})S}{\eta V_{eff}} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{k=z} H(X_{si}, T_{sj})S_{sk}}{\eta V_{eff}}$$
(8)

The comprehensive expression for indoor radon concentration is:

$$C = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{k=z} H(X_{si}, T_{sj}) S_{sk}}{\eta V_{eff}} + C_0$$
(9)

In Equation (9), C is defined as the indoor radon concentration (Bq/m³). For scenarios where the bottom layer consists of soil, the indoor radon concentration can be expressed as:

$$\phi(t) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{k=z} H(X_{si}, T_{sj}) S_{sk} + \eta V_{eff} C_d}{\lambda_{eff} \lambda_{eff}} + (C_0 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{k=z} H(X_{si}, T_{sj}) S_{sk} + \eta V_{eff} C_d}{\lambda_{eff} \lambda_{eff}}) e^{-\lambda_{eff} t}$$
(10)

In Equation (10), $\phi(t)$ represents the total indoor radon concentration with a soil bottom layer in *t* time (Bq/m³), while C_d denotes the outdoor radon concentration generated by the soil layer (Bq/m³); *t* represents time (h).

Given that $\lambda_{eff} = \lambda_{Rn} + R + \eta \approx \eta$ and $e^{-(\lambda + R + \eta)t} \approx 0$, Equation (10) can be simplified to:

$$\phi = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{k=z} H(X_{si}, T_{sj}) S_{sk}}{\eta V_{eff}} + C_d$$
(11)

In Equation (11), ϕ represents the total indoor radon concentration with a soil bottom layer (Bq/m³).

The Application of the Prediction Model for Indoor Radon Concentration

We applied the prediction model for indoor radon concentration from construction materials to a radon chamber (container simulation house). Five prefabricated block walls of varying thicknesses (0.155 m, 0.165 m, 0.172 m, 0.213 m, and 0.268 m) as the sole radon sources within the chamber. The interior dimensions of the chamber measured 2.80 × 5.80 × 2.50 m³, featuring one door $(0.90 \times 1.9 \text{ m}^2)$ and two windows $(0.86 \times 0.93 \text{ m}^2)$. The effective volume of the chamber was 38.78 m³, representing 96% of the total interior volume. Temperature control was maintained using an air conditioning system.

During the winter experiment, doors and windows remained closed, resulting in low ventilation rates (5-7), which were approximated at 0.10–0.12 /h.

We calculated the indoor radon concentration under different ventilation rates at 23 °C and compared these values with the measured equilibrium radon concentration at the same temperature. The background radon concentration was 6.50 Bq/m³. The results are presented in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

Indoor radon primarily originates from soil and building materials (8). Two critical factors influence indoor radon accumulation: surface radon exhalation rate and ventilation rate (9-11). We have established a prediction model for indoor radon concentration that incorporates the internal surface area and radon exhalation rate of the building envelope, indoor temperature, and natural air exchange rate, enabling accurate calculation of indoor radon concentration

TABLE 1. Comparison of calculated and measured radon concentration when equilibrium.

Ventilation rate (1/h)	Theoretical value (Bq/m ³)	Measured value (Bq/m ³)	Deviation (%)
0.10	21.25		7.76
0.11	19.91	10.60	1.56
0.12	18.80	19.00	4.26
0.13	17.85		9.80

under the combined influence of multiple factors.

A key strength of this model lies in its integration of geometric building structural parameters and environmental factors affecting indoor radon concentration. We developed a correlational function that accounts for radon exhalation rates across multiple walls at varying temperatures and thicknesses. The relationship between construction materials and indoor radon concentration has been optimized through direct measurement of surface radon exhalation rates from prefabricated walls, significantly enhancing the accuracy and broader applicability of indoor radon concentration predictions. For building envelopes with curved interior surfaces, we employed geometric transformation relationships to calculate wall surface areas. Through comparative analysis and validation, we demonstrated that the model's estimated indoor radon concentration from walls with thicknesses of 0.155-0.268 m at 23 °C aligns with measured values, with deviations less than 10%.

This refined model provides valuable guidance for preliminary building site selection, optimal door and window dimensioning, and scientifically informed selection of construction materials with respect to radon safety considerations.

While the model has limitations regarding daily and seasonal wall temperature fluctuations, as the fitting curve for exhalation rate calculations was derived from constant-temperature experiments, these constraints can be addressed by incorporating multiple fitting curves for various temperatures and wall thicknesses. Although there exists a discrepancy between modeled and real-world conditions, this deviation remains theoretically insignificant. Thus, the model maintains its practical utility and scientific validity within a controllable range.

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest.

Ethical Statements: This research involves no ethical concerns as it does not include human/animal subjects or sensitive data.

doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2025.061

Health Management, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China; ³ Key Laboratory of Beam Technology of Ministry of Education, School of Physics and Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China; ⁴ Institute for Radiation Hygience Protection, Beijing Center for Disease Prevention and Control, Beijing, China.

Copyright © 2025 by Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. All content is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).

Submitted: February 23, 2024 Accepted: February 24, 2025 Issued: March 14, 2025

REFERENCES

- Ting DSK. WHO handbook on indoor radon: a public health perspective. Int J Environ Stud 2010;67(1):100 – 2. https://doi.org/10. 1080/00207230903556771.
- Abbasi A, Mirekhtiary F, El-Denglawey A, Zakaly HMH. Influences of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown on excess lifetime cancer risk value of natural radiation. J Radioanal Nucl Chem 2021;329(3):1399 – 406. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-021-07910-w.
- Ruano-Ravina A, Lema LV, Talavera MG, Gómez MG, Muñoz SG, Santiago-Pérez MI, et al. Lung cancer mortality attributable to residential radon exposure in Spain and its regions. Environ Res 2021;199:111372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111372.
- Gooding TD. An analysis of radon levels in the basements of UK workplaces and review of when employers should test. J Radiol Prot 2018;38(1):247 – 61. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/aaa18c.
- Chen YH, Chen YL, Wang QX, Li ZX, Chen P. Investigation and research on ventilation of naturally ventilated residences in China. Heat Vent Air Cond 2020;50(12):85 – 8,19. https://doi.org/10.19991/j. hvac1971.2020.12.021.
- Hong YF, Dai ZZ, Chen X, Shen SL. Estimation of air exchange frequency in the condition of natural indoor ventilation. J Environ Health 2005;22(1):47 – 9. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1001-5914. 2005.01.018.
- Tan YL. Research on threshold value of average radon exhalation rate from indoor surface. J Hengyang Norm Univ 2016;37(3):30 – 3. https: //doi.org/10.13914/j.cnki.cn43-1453/z.2016.03.008.
- Mancini S, Guida M, Cuomo A, Guida D, Ismail AH. Modelling of indoor radon activity concentration dynamics and its validation through in-situ measurements on regional scale. AIP Conf Proc 2018;1982(1): 020043. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5045449.
- Yarmoshenko I, Malinovsky G, Vasilyev A, Onishchenko A. Model of radon entry and accumulation in multi-flat energy-efficient buildings. J Environ Chem Eng 2021;9(4):105444. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece. 2021.105444.
- Sahoo BK, Nathwani D, Eappen KP, Ramachandran TV, Gaware JJ, Mayya YS. Estimation of radon emanation factor in Indian building materials. Radiat Meas 2007;42(8):1422 – 5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. radmeas.2007.04.002.
- Shahrokhi A, Kovacs T. Radiological survey on radon entry path in an underground mine and implementation of an optimized mitigation system. Environ Sci Eur 2021;33(1):66. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12302-021-00507-w.

[#] Corresponding author: Kuke Ding, dingkk@chinacdc.cn.

¹ National Institute for Radiological Protection, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China; ² Office for Public

Youth Editorial Board

Director Lei Zl	nou					
Vice Directors	Jue Liu	Tiantian Li	Tianmu Chen			
Members of Youth Editorial Board						
Jingwen Ai		Li Bai		Yuhai Bi		
Gong Cheng		Liangliang Cui		Meng Gao		
Yuehua Hu		Jia Huang		Xiang Huo		
Yu Ju		Min Kang		Huihui Kong		
Shengjie Lai		Fangfang Li		Jingxin Li		
Di Liu		Jun Liu		Li Liu		
Chao Ma		Yang Pan		Zhixing Peng		
Tian Qin		Shuhui Song		Kun Su		
Bin Wang		Jingyuan Wang	1	Linghang Wang		
Xiaoli Wang		Xin Wang		Feixue Wei		
Zhiqiang Wu		Meng Xiao		Tian Xiao		
Lei Xu		Lin Yang		Canqing Yu		
Yi Zhang		Yang Zhao		Hong Zhou		

Yunlong Cao Jie Gong Xiaolin Jiang Lingcai Kong Huigang Liang Yang Liu Menbao Qian Song Tang Qihui Wang Yongyue Wei Wuxiang Xie Lin Zeng Indexed by Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE), Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI), PubMed Central (PMC), Scopus, Chinese Scientific and Technical Papers and Citations, and Chinese Science Citation Database (CSCD)

Copyright © 2025 by Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention

Under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC), it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and build upon the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal. References to non-China-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *CCDC Weekly* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by China CDC or National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. China CDC is not responsible for the content of non-China-CDC sites.

The inauguration of *China CDC Weekly* is in part supported by Project for Enhancing International Impact of China STM Journals Category D (PIIJ2-D-04-(2018)) of China Association for Science and Technology (CAST).

Vol. 7 No. 11 Mar. 14, 2025

Responsible Authority

National Disease Control and Prevention Administration

Sponsor

Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention

Editing and Publishing

China CDC Weekly Editorial Office No.155 Changbai Road, Changping District, Beijing, China Tel: 86-10-63150501, 63150701 Email: weekly@chinacdc.cn

CSSN

ISSN 2096-7071 (Print) ISSN 2096-3101 (Online) CN 10-1629/R1