

Preplanned Studies

The Distribution and Potential Exposure Factors of Physical Activity Level Among Middle-Aged and Elderly Population — Six Communities, Beijing, China, October 2020 to January 2021

Heming Pei¹; Ning Kang¹; Fan Yang¹; Xinming Song¹; Gong Chen^{1,†}; Dongmin Wang^{2,†}

Summary

What is already known about this topic?

Available evidence suggested that 31% of the world's population do not meet the minimum recommendations for physical activity.

What is added by this report?

The latest findings showed that physical activity level (PAL), metabolic equivalents (MET) in min/week with <4,500 (low), 4,500–6,000 (moderate) and $\geq 6,000$ (high) accounted for 45.72%, 25.62%, and 28.66% of middle-aged and elderly population in Changchunyuan Community, Weixiuyuan Community, Zhongguanyuan Community, Yanbeiyan Community, Kangzeyuan Community and Xima Community, respectively. The moderate and high PAL was associated with a decreased risk of hypertension, cardiovascular disease, dyslipidemia, and poor sleep.

What are the implications for public health practice?

More attention should be given to the middle-aged population who may be at high risk of insufficient physical activity in urban environments as it is of public health significance for improving the community population health.

Over the past few decades, transitions in population structure and the growing urbanization process have led to a sharp increase in the number of middle-aged and elderly persons dwelling in urban community in Beijing (1). In recent years, levels of physical activity have declined worldwide, including in China, mainly because of rapid urbanization, reduced physical activity in the workplace, changes in modes of transportation, and other lifestyle aspects (2). Physical inactivity was responsible for an overall global economic burden that costs healthcare systems \$53.8 billion worldwide in 2013, taking both direct costs (healthcare expenditure)

and indirect costs (productivity losses) into account (3). Considering its prevalence, global reach, and health impact, physical inactivity should be viewed as a pandemic, with far-reaching health, economic, environmental, and social consequences (4). The pandemic of physical inactivity is associated with a range of chronic diseases and early deaths in middle-aged and older adults. The economic burden of physical inactivity remains unquantified at the global level (3). To minimize such burdens brought by physical inactivity, interventions should target middle-aged and older adults to maintain their activities of daily living (ADL) (5). This study aimed to describe the physical activity level (PAL) and its associated factors among the middle-aged and elderly populations in Beijing. The findings of multivariable logistic regression indicated that a certain of physical activity (PA) intensity [$\geq 4,500$ metabolic equivalents (MET)-min/week] was associated with varying age, education, registered residence, living arrangement, chronic diseases, and poor sleep. These might become components of a comprehensive intervention measurement for preventing physical inactivity among middle-aged and older adults. A community-based study was conducted between October 2020 and January 2021 among middle-aged and elderly populations in Beijing, China. A stratified random sampling method was used to obtain a representative sample of the community population between 40 and 79 years of age. The sampling of study areas was stratified by the type of sociodemographic features in the community, while considering the accessibility of eligible participants and feasibility of laptop-based questionnaire collection within the areas. Six communities including Changchunyuan Community, Weixiuyuan Community, Zhongguanyuan Community, Yanbeiyan Community, Kangzeyuan

Community, and Xima Community were selected as the study areas and randomly selected participants proportionally to the total samples. The inclusion criteria were age between 40 and 79 years and functional independence in activities of ADL. Taking note of minimum representative numbers, approximately 4% of the target population was randomly selected as the sample group. Trained interviewers conducted the face-to-face computer-assisted personal interview (CAPI) with a total of 1,319 participants. After data cleaning, 1,284 data points were included in the analysis. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee at School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences, Peking University (protocol number #2019-08-04).

The structured questionnaire included sociodemographic questions (age, gender, education level, marital status, living arrangement, income, and household registration), behavioral questions (alcohol consumption and smoking), individual health status and medical history (hypertension, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic lung diseases, digestive disease, arthritis, or rheumatism), which were self-reported by the participants, all were based on clinical diagnoses in secondary or higher hospitals.

PA was measured using the International Physical Activity Questionnaires-Long Form (IPAQ-L) by metabolic equivalents (METs). As one MET is defined as the oxygen consumption while sitting at rest [3.5 mL O₂ / (kg·min)], IPAQ-L calculated metabolic equivalent minutes per week by assigning standardized MET values of 3.3, 4, 6, and 8 for walking, moderate-intensity activities, cycling, and vigorous-intensity activities. Furthermore, for the outliers, each time variable exceeding 180 minutes were truncated in accordance with IPAQ scoring protocol to minimize

the possible measurement error and prevent misclassification. PAL was categorized into three levels according to MET-min/week, with <4,500 MET-min/week (low PAL), 4,500–6,000 MET-min/week (moderate PAL), and ≥6,000 MET-min/week (high PAL). Sleep quality was evaluated by using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), where a self-rated PSQI score demonstrated superior sensitivity and specificity in distinguishing good and poor sleepers at a cut-off of 5 (6).

Univariate logistic regressions were performed to assess differences between three physical activity levels in terms of individual exposures (sociodemographic characteristics, lifestyle behaviors, individual health status, and medical history). Multivariable logistic regression was used to evaluate the association between exposure factors and different physical activity levels after adjusting for potential confounding factors, and adjusted odds ratios (aOR) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 3.4.4; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Among 1,284 participants included in the analysis, Table 1 presented sociodemographic characteristics, behavioral factors, and chronic disease distribution at different physical activity levels. Low, moderate, and high PAL accounted for 45.72%, 25.62%, and 28.66% among 1,284 middle-aged and elderly people, respectively. There were significant differences between moderate PAL group and low PAL group in terms of age, gender, education, registered residence, living arrangement, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and smoke. There were differences between high PAL group and low PAL group in terms of age, education, living arrangement, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease, and sleep quality (Table 1).

TABLE 1. The distribution of sociodemographic characteristics, behavioral factors, and chronic disease affecting physical activity level among middle-aged and elderly population in Beijing, China, October 2020 to January 2021.

Exposure factors	Physical activity level*					
	<4,500 MET-min/week (n=587)		4,500–6,000 MET-min/week (n=329)		≥6,000 MET-min/week (n=368)	
	n (%)	n (%)	cOR (95% CI)	n (%)	cOR (95% CI)	
Age (years)						
<60	264 (44.97)	119 (36.17)	1.00	141 (38.32)	1.00	
≥60	323 (55.03)	210 (63.83)	1.44 (1.09–1.91) [†]	227 (61.68)	1.32 (1.01–1.72) [†]	
Gender						
Male	171 (29.13)	64 (19.45)	1.00	100 (27.17)	1.00	
Female	416 (70.87)	265 (80.55)	1.70 (1.23–2.37) [§]	268 (72.83)	1.10 (0.82–1.48)	

TABLE 1. (Continued)

Exposure factors	Physical activity level*				
	<4,500 MET-min/week (n=587)	4,500–6,000 MET-min/week (n=329)		≥6,000 MET-min/week (n=368)	
		n (%)	n (%)	cOR (95% CI)	n (%)
Education level					
Junior high school or below	192 (32.71)	94 (28.57)	1.00	96 (26.09)	1.00
High school	151 (25.72)	110 (33.43)	1.49 (1.05–2.11) [†]	145 (39.40)	1.92 (1.37–2.69) [§]
College or above	244 (41.57)	125 (37.99)	1.05 (0.75–1.45)	127 (34.51)	1.04 (0.75–1.44)
Marital status					
Married	471 (80.24)	278 (84.50)	1.00	297 (80.71)	1.00
Other	116 (19.76)	51 (15.50)	0.75 (0.52–1.07)	71 (19.29)	0.97 (0.70–1.35)
Registered residence					
Agricultural	84 (14.31)	29 (8.81)	1.00	37 (10.05)	1.00
Non-agricultural	503 (85.69)	300 (91.19)	1.72 (1.11–2.73) [†]	331 (89.95)	1.49 (0.99–2.27)
Living arrangement					
Not with child	390 (66.44)	194 (58.97)	1.00	219 (59.51)	1.00
With child	197 (33.56)	135 (41.03)	1.38 (1.04–1.82) [†]	149 (40.49)	1.35 (1.03–1.76) [†]
Income (per month)					
<3,000 CNY	135 (23.00)	75 (22.80)	1.00	89 (24.18)	1.00
3,000–6,000 CNY	276 (47.02)	157 (47.72)	1.02 (0.73–1.45)	187 (50.82)	1.03 (0.74–1.43)
>6,000 CNY	176 (29.98)	97 (29.48)	0.99 (0.68–1.45)	92 (25.00)	0.79 (0.55–1.15)
Hypertension					
No	383 (65.25)	251 (76.29)	1.00	249 (67.66)	1.00
Yes	204 (34.75)	78 (23.71)	0.58 (0.43–0.79) [§]	119 (32.34)	0.90 (0.68–1.18)
Dyslipidemia					
No	450 (76.66)	263 (79.94)	1.00	309 (83.97)	1.00
Yes	137 (23.34)	66 (20.06)	0.83 (0.59–1.15)	59 (16.03)	0.63 (0.45–0.88) [§]
Diabetes					
No	494 (84.16)	281 (85.41)	1.00	326 (88.59)	1.00
Yes	93 (15.84)	48 (14.59)	0.91 (0.62–1.32)	42 (11.41)	0.69 (0.46–1.01)
Chronic lung diseases					
No	570 (97.10)	318 (96.66)	1.00	360 (97.83)	1.00
Yes	17 (2.90)	11 (3.34)	1.17 (0.52–2.51)	8 (2.17)	0.75 (0.30–1.72)
Cardiovascular disease					
No	516 (87.90)	310 (94.22)	1.00	348 (94.57)	1.00
Yes	71 (12.10)	19 (5.78)	0.45 (0.26–0.74) [§]	20 (5.43)	0.42 (0.24–0.69) [§]
Digestive disease					
No	542 (92.33)	302 (91.79)	1.00	339 (92.12)	1.00
Yes	45 (7.67)	27 (8.21)	1.08 (0.65–1.77)	29 (7.88)	1.03 (0.63–1.67)
Arthritis or rheumatism					
No	496 (84.50)	289 (87.84)	1.00	318 (86.41)	1.00
Yes	91 (15.50)	40 (12.16)	0.76 (0.50–1.12)	50 (13.59)	0.86 (0.59–1.24)
Smoke					
No	501 (85.35)	298 (90.58)	1.00	319 (86.68)	1.00
Yes	86 (14.65)	31 (9.42)	0.61 (0.39–0.93) [†]	49 (13.32)	0.90 (0.61–1.30)

TABLE 1. (Continued)

Exposure factors	Physical activity level*				
	<4,500 MET-min/week (n=587)	4,500–6,000 MET-min/week (n=329)		≥6,000 MET-min/week (n=368)	
	n (%)	n (%)	cOR (95% CI)	n (%)	cOR (95% CI)
Alcohol consumption					
No	183 (31.18)	85 (25.84)	1.00	126 (34.24)	1.00
Yes	404 (68.82)	244 (74.16)	1.30 (0.96–1.76)	242 (65.76)	0.87 (0.66–1.15)
Sleep quality					
Good sleep	306 (52.13)	179 (54.41)	1.00	225 (61.14)	1.00
Poor sleep	281 (47.87)	150 (45.59)	0.91 (0.70–1.20)	143 (38.86)	0.69 (0.53–0.90) [§]

Note: cOR, Risk of exposure factor was estimated by computing the crude odds ratio, and the precision of the odds ratio was assessed by its 95% CI.

Abbreviations: MET=metabolic equivalent; CI=confidence interval; PAL=physical activity level.

* PAL of 4,500–6,000 MET group and PAL of ≥6,000 MET group compared with physical activity level of <4,500 MET group (as control group), respectively.

[†] $P < 0.05$.

[§] $P < 0.01$.




FIGURE 1. Proportion of sociodemographic characteristic at different physical activity level among middle-aged and elderly population in Beijing, China, October 2020 to January 2021.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of sociodemographic characteristic at various PALs. The sociodemographic characteristic comprising the highest proportions for the 3 PALs were similar, and the top 5 were non-agriculture, married, female, aged ≥60, and income 3,000–6,000 CNY per month; the lowest one was income <3,000 CNY per month.

Figure 2 shows the proportion of selected chronic diseases and behavioral factors at PAL. The proportion order for the three PALs was basically consistent, and the top three were poor sleep quality, hypertension, and dyslipidemia; the lowest one was chronic lung

diseases (Figure 2).

Table 2 shows association of physical activity level and exposure factors by multivariate logistic regression analysis. The likelihood of moderate PAL was higher among participants aged ≥60 years (aOR 1.65, 95% CI: 1.21–2.26), having non-agriculture residence (aOR 1.66, 95% CI: 1.03–2.73), and living with at least one child (aOR 1.35, 95% CI: 1.00–1.80) in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. Moderate PAL was also associated with a reduced risk of hypertension (aOR: 0.58, 95% CI: 0.42–0.80) and cardiovascular disease (aOR: 0.43, 95% CI: 0.24–0.73), respectively.




FIGURE 2. Proportion of chronic disease and behavioral factors at different physical activity level among middle-aged and elderly population in Beijing, China, October 2020 to January 2021.

TABLE 2. Association of physical activity level and exposure factors by multivariate Logistic regression analysis among middle-aged and elderly population in Beijing, China, October 2020 to January 2021.

Exposure factors	aOR (95% CI)	Forest plots
Model 1 PAL 4,500–6,000 MET-min/week		
Age (years)		
<60	1.00	
≥60	1.65 (1.21–2.26)	
Gender		
Male	1.00	
Female	1.40 (0.96–2.07)	
Education level		
Junior high school or below	1.00	
Senior high school	1.33 (0.92–1.93)	
College	0.98 (0.67–1.42)	
Registered residence		
Agricultural	1.00	
Non-agricultural	1.66 (1.03–2.73)	
Living arrangement		
Not with child	1.00	
With child	1.35 (1.00–1.80)	
Hypertension		
No	1.00	
Yes	0.58 (0.42–0.80)	
Cardiovascular disease		
No	1.00	
Yes	0.43 (0.24–0.73)	
Smoke		
No	1.00	
Yes	0.84 (0.49–1.40)	

TABLE 2. (Continued)

Exposure factors	aOR (95% CI)	Forest plots
Model 2: $\geq 6,000$ MET-min/wk		
Age (years)		
<60	1.00	
≥ 60	1.59 (1.19–2.12)	
Education level		
Junior high school or below	1.00	
High school	2.06 (1.47–2.92)	
College	1.14 (0.81–1.60)	
Living arrangement		
Not with child	1.00	
With child	1.43 (1.08–1.89)	
Dyslipidemia		
No	1.00	
Yes	0.61 (0.42–0.88)	
Cardiovascular disease		
No	1.00	
Yes	0.45 (0.26–0.76)	
Sleep quality		
Good sleep	1.00	
Poor sleep	0.75 (0.57–0.99)	

Notes: aOR means Odd Ratio after adjusting for confounding factors.

Model 1: After adjusting for age, gender, education, registered residence, living arrangement, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and smoking at PAL 4,500–6,000 MET-min/wk.

Model 2: After adjusting for age, education, living arrangement, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease, and sleep quality.

Abbreviations: CI=confidence interval; PAL=physical activity level; MET=metabolic equivalents.

Among those with high PAL, the likelihood of the PAL was higher among participants aged ≥ 60 (aOR 1.59, 95% CI: 1.19–2.12), education level of at least high school (aOR 2.06, 95% CI: 1.47–2.92), and living with at least one child (aOR 1.43, 95% CI: 1.08–1.89). This PAL was associated with a decreased risk of dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease, and poor sleep, aOR (95% CI) was 0.61 (95% CI: 0.42–0.88), 0.45 (95% CI: 0.26–0.76), and 0.75 (95% CI: 0.57–0.99), respectively, indicating that low PAL may be relative to the increased risks of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and poor sleep quality (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The latest findings showed that participants with low PAL accounted for 45.72%, those with moderate and above PA accounted for 54.28% among middle-aged and elderly population. The findings of multivariable logistic regression showed that the likelihood of moderate and high PAL was mainly among elderly population, indicating the middle-aged

population who may be at higher risk of low PAL in urban areas and can also be a target population for chronic disease intervention. Moreover, the moderate PAL was associated with a decreased risk of hypertension and cardiovascular disease (CVD), the high PAL relative to a reduced risk of dyslipidemia, CVD, and poor sleep quality. On the contrary, above diseases and poor sleep quality may be an influencing factor for a low PAL. Our findings indicated that a certain of PA intensity ($\geq 4,500$ MET-min/week) was associated with varying sociodemographic characteristics (age, education, and registered residence), living arrangements, and chronic diseases. Identifying the exposure factors serving as barriers or motivators in middle-aged and older adults' physical activity could contribute toward the development of intensity-specific health promotion interventions. These might become components of a comprehensive intervention measurement for preventing physical inactivity among community-dwelling middle-aged and older adults in urban areas.

This finding of distribution characteristics was consistent with that in Shenzhen, indicating that the

prevalence of physical inactivity in urban Chinese residents was high, especially in middle-aged adults where the prevalence was 45.79% (7). Those findings using a multivariable logistic regression were consistent with a large prospective study in China, which higher occupational or nonoccupational physical activity was associated with significantly lower risks of major CVD among Chinese adults (8). Even for an older age, maintaining a high PA level or increasing PA from low to high levels resulted in lower mortality risks (9). Leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) was decreased for those aged over 65 years or those with a history of cardiovascular disease. In addition, high-intensity LTPA had more obvious cardiovascular benefits than those of moderate-intensity leisure-time physical activity (10–11).

Previous studies have proposed various mechanisms to explain the health benefits of LTPA. In general, the protective effects of PA on the risks of CVD include adjustments to blood pressure, lipid levels, glucose tolerance, or body mass index (12–13).

This study was subject to limitations. First, the current study was cross-sectional, and the chronological sequence between exposure factors and physical activity was unclear; therefore, a causal relationship could not be established between the two. Further evidence is warranted using prospective cohort or randomized controlled trial design to confirm the direction of the association. Second, self-reported chronic diseases may be subject to potential recall bias, especially for the elderly population.

However, this study had several strengths. It was a community-based study with a relatively representative sample, and multiple communities were selected as the study areas to provide diversity in sociodemographic features and physical activity level patterns to avoid the selection bias. The trained investigators conducted the face-to-face CAPI was performed to reduce the potential information bias in the survey. PA was measured using the IPAQ-L by metabolic equivalents to minimize the possible measurement error and misclassification bias. Sleep quality was evaluated by using PSQI, with a superior sensitivity and specificity in distinguishing good and poor sleepers to reduce the potential measurement bias.

In conclusion, this study represents the most up-to-date data with a relatively large sample size and standardized method to explore the association between physical activity level and various sociodemographic factors and chronic diseases among middle-aged and elderly population in Beijing. The

results suggested that more attention should be given to the middle-aged population who may be at high risk of physical inactivity in urban areas.

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest.

Funding: Supported by grant from National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2018YFC2000603).

doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2022.027

Corresponding authors: Gong Chen, chengong@pku.edu.cn; Dongmin Wang, dongmin_wang@pku.edu.cn.

¹ Institute of Population Research/Institute on Aging, Peking University, Beijing, China; ² Department of Physical Education, Peking University, Beijing, China.

Submitted: November 08, 2021; Accepted: December 16, 2021

REFERENCES

1. Beijing Municipal Bureau of Statistics, Beijing Survey Team of National Bureau of Statistics. Beijing statistical yearbook. Beijing: China Statistics Press. 2020. <http://nj.tjj.beijing.gov.cn/nj/main/2020-tjnj/zk/indexch.htm>. (In Chinese).
2. Dumith SC, Hallal PC, Reis RS, Kohl III HW. Worldwide prevalence of physical inactivity and its association with human development index in 76 countries. *Prev Med* 2011;53(1–2):24–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2011.02.017>.
3. Ding D, Lawson KD, Kolbe-Alexander TL, Finkelstein EA, Katzmarzyk PT, van Mechelen W, et al. The economic burden of physical inactivity: a global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. *Lancet* 2016;388(10051):1311–24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30383-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30383-X).
4. Kohl III HW, Craig CL, Lambert EV, Inoue S, Alkandari JR, Leetongin G, et al. The pandemic of physical inactivity: global action for public health. *Lancet* 2012;380(9838):294–305. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)60898-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60898-8).
5. Cunningham C, O'Sullivan R, Caserotti P, Tully MA. Consequences of physical inactivity in older adults: a systematic review of reviews and meta-analyses. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2020;30(5):816–27. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sms.13616>.
6. Buysse DJ, Reynolds III CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The pittsburgh sleep quality index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res* 1989;28(2):193–213. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781\(89\)90047-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4).
7. Zhou YF, Wu J, Zhang SC, Yan SJ, He LP, Mkandawire N, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of physical inactivity among middle-aged and older Chinese in Shenzhen: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2018;8(10):e019775. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019775>.
8. Bennett DA, Du HD, Clarke R, Guo Y, Yang L, Bian Z, et al. Association of physical activity with risk of major cardiovascular diseases in Chinese men and women. *JAMA Cardiol* 2017;2(12):1349–58. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2017.4069>.
9. Huang YY, Jiang CQ, Xu L, Zhang WS, Zhu F, Jin YL, et al. Mortality in relation to changes in physical activity in middle-aged to older Chinese: an 8-year follow-up of the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *J Sport Health Sci* 2021;10(4):430–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2020.08.007>.
10. Cheng WK, Zhang Z, Cheng WS, Yang C, Diao LL, Liu WJ. Associations of leisure-time physical activity with cardiovascular mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 44 prospective cohort studies. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2018;25(17):1864–72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2047487318795194>.

11. Li JQ, Zhang ZT, Si SC, Xue FZ. Leisure-time physical activity and cardiovascular disease risk among hypertensive patients: a longitudinal cohort study. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2021;8:644573. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2021.644573>.
12. Rossi A, Dikareva A, Bacon SL, Daskalopoulou SS. The impact of physical activity on mortality in patients with high blood pressure: a systematic review. *J Hypertens* 2012;30(7):1277 – 88. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0b013e3283544669>.
13. Joseph G, Marott JL, Torp-Pedersen C, Biering-Sørensen T, Nielsen G, Christensen AE, et al. Dose-response association between level of physical activity and mortality in normal, elevated, and high blood pressure. *Hypertension* 2019;74(6):1307 – 15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.13786>.